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1. INTRODUCTION

Functionally graded (FG) materials are usually formed by combining a certain volume ratio with ceramics and metals materials. These materials have been highly discussed among researchers because they include characteristics such as: heat-resistance, toughness, low volumetric mass and high strength. Sandwich structures are widely used in the aerospace, space, shipbuilding and construction industries due to their excellent electrical, thermal and mechanical properties. Research on the different aspects of FG beams has been conducted extensively in recent years [1-3]. Typically, functionally graded material is a compositional gradient but it can also be a microstructural gradient, for instance, porosity gradients. As far as the search for literature goes, a few studies were carried out on the mechanical actions of porous structures [4, 5].

The higher shear deformation theories (HSDT) support transverse shear effects, hence is appropriate for analysis of both moderately thick and thin plates and beams. Vibration behaviours of FG structures have been investigated in many studies. For example, in a study, the free vibration of simply supported functionally graded beams (FGs) whose material properties may be arbitrarily altered in thickness direction has been undertaken by Celebi et al. [6]. In a different work, Lei et al. [7] examined a size-dependent model of beam to study vibration and bending of FG microbeams with simply supported boundary conditions based on the strain gradient elasticity theory and sinusoidal shear deformation theory. Ke et al. [8] considered the non-linear free vibration of FG nanotube-reinforced composite beams by employing direct iterative and Ritz method based on the TBT and using von Karman type strain-displacement relationships. Researchers have used various numerical methods to analyze FG beam
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vibrations. Free vibration of FGM layered beams under various boundary conditions through the use of finite element method were analyzed by Mashat et al. [9]. Recently, Faghidian [11-13] developed size-dependent elasticity theories such as the nonlocal modified gradient theory [10], the higher-order nonlocal gradient theory for analyzing the mechanical behavior of nanostructures. Shahba and Rajasekaran [14] calculate the longitudinal transverse frequencies of FGM beams applied the differential transform element method (DTEM) and differential quadrature element method (DQEM) of lower order. The vibrational analysis of composite beams is carried out in different studies [15, 16]. Shafiei et al. [17] employed the DQM to investigate vibration of 2D FG Timoshenko nano and micro beams with porosity. Kandil et al. [18] studied sandwich panels with various properties of face and core. They found decreasing thickness of concrete face wythes had a positive effect on strength/weight ratio. Singh and Sangle [19] were studied nonlinear static response of vertically oriented coupled wall with finite element method.

Based on the studies mentioned above, it can be noted that the studies vibration of two-dimensional functionally graded sandwich beams with porosity are very limited. For the first time, natural frequency analysis of the 2D-FG sandwich beams investigated based on two new higher order theories. Vibration analysis of two-dimensional functionally graded beams by considering the porosities that might occur inside the materials with gradient properties during manufacturing process is presented. Three types of sandwich beams were investigated in second section. In the first type, a single-layer 2D-FG porous beam is assumed. The second type is sandwich beam with 2D-FG core and pure metal/ceramic face sheet. FG layers have a smooth and gradual change in mechanical behaviour throughout their length and thickness. On the other hands, the third type we have two 2D-FG layers with porosity as faces and pure ceramic core. In present research, Alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) and Aluminium (Al) are considered as ceramic and metal, respectively. Two new beam theories are introduced. results obtained with new higher shear deformation beam theories (NHSDBT1 and 2) show great convergence with Timoshenko (TBT), first-order (FSDBT), and parabolic (PSDBT) shear deformation beam theories. In this paper at first, formulations and types of beams, governing equation of motion and solving method are presented at end of section two. Then, accuracy of our two new higher order formulations is confirmed and the influence of porosity, L/h, shapes, and FG power indexes along thickness and length on non-dimensional natural frequencies on the beams are discussed in the last section. Also, natural frequencies with various beam theories are calculated and results are concluded. Both novels introduced theories are simple than some other higher beam theories because of fewer unknown variables as a result it helps to reduce the time of calculating. Also, one of the other advantages of these two new proposed distributions is that they don’t need any shear correction factor and they satisfy free stress conditions at the top and bottom surfaces of the structure.

2. PROBLEM AND FORMULATION

2.1. Numerical Simulation Procedure Consider a beam, as shown in Figure 1 with length L, width b, and thickness h, with the Cartesian coordinate system O (x, y, z), where the origin of coordinate system O is chosen at the left of the beam. The mechanical properties of the beam, such as Young’s modulus E (x, z), shear modulus G (x, z), Poisson’s modulus ν (x, z), and mass density ρ (x, z), with the material properties can vary along the length and thickness, as shown in Figure 1. In this study, three different types of 2D-FG beam models were considered: isotropic 2D-FG beam (Model I), sandwich beam with homogeneous faces and 2D-FG core (Model II), and sandwich beam with 2D-FG faces and homogeneous ceramic core (Model III).

The effective material properties (P) can be expressed using the rule of mixtures as follows:

$$P(x, z) = P_c R_c(x, z) + P_m R_m(x, z)$$

(1)

$$R_c(x, z) + R_m(x, z) = 1$$

(2)

where $P_c$ and $P_m$ are the epitomes of the mechanical properties. In addition, $R_c$ and $R_m$ are the volume fractions of ceramic and metal. The effective material properties of the porosity are defined as follows [20]:

$$P(x, z) = \left(P_c - P_m\right) R_c + P_m \left(\frac{η}{2}\right)\left(P_c + P_m\right)$$

(3)

where η is porosity volume fraction.

2.1.1. Model I: Isotropic 2D-FG Beam The first beam Model was graded from the metal at the lower left corner edge to the ceramic at the top right corner edge (Figure 2). The volume fraction of the ceramic material is given by Şimşek [21]:

$$R_c(x, z) = \left(\frac{x}{L}\right) \left(\frac{z}{h} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

(4)

Figure 1. Geometry of 2D-FG beam and cross section
k_x and k_z are the power laws of the beam, which have certain properties in the length and thickness directions.

2.1.2. Model II: Homogeneous Faces and 2D-FG Core
In this Model, the core layer of the sandwich beam is similar to that of Model I, and the bottom and top faces are made of pure metal and pure ceramic as shown in Figure 3. The volume fraction of the ceramic for the second Model is given by the following expression:

\[ R_c(x, z) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } h_z < z < \frac{h}{2} \\
\left(\frac{h_x + h_c - z}{h_i}\right)^{k_x} & \text{if } h_1 < z < h_2 \\
0 & \text{if } \frac{h}{2} < z < h_2 
\end{cases} \] (5)

2.1.3. Model III: 2D-FG Faces and Ceramic Core
In Model III, the two 2D-FG skins covered a homogeneous pure ceramic layer (Figure 4). In this case, the volume fraction of the ceramic constituent R_c(x, z) is given as follows:

\[ R_c(x, z) = \begin{cases} 
\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^{k_x} \left(\frac{h_x + h_c - z}{h_i}\right)^{k_z} & \text{if } h_z < z < \frac{h}{2} \\
1 & \text{if } h_1 < z < h_2 \\
\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^{k_x} \left(\frac{h_x + h_c - z}{h_i}\right)^{k_z} & \text{if } \frac{h}{2} < z < h_2 
\end{cases} \] (6)

The variation of the volume fraction of the ceramic (R_c) through the beam thickness and length for all three Models with respect to k_x and k_z is plotted in Figure 5.

2.2. Numerical Simulation Procedure
The displacement field for the present shear deformation beam theories, are given by Equation (7):

\[ u_z(x, z) = u(x) - g(z) \frac{\partial w(x)}{\partial x} + f(z) \varphi(x) \] (7a)
\[ u_z(x, z) = w(x) \] (7b)

where u(x) and w(x) represent the axial and transverse displacements for the mid access, respectively, and \( \varphi \) is the rotation of the cross sections. g(z) and f(z) are shape functions that differ based on the theory under consideration, as listed in Table 1. In this study, two new

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theories</th>
<th>g(z)</th>
<th>f(z)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBT [22]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSDBT [23]</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSDBT [24]</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>z\left(1 - \frac{4z^2}{3h^2}\right)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSDBT1</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>z\left(\frac{4}{h} - \frac{16z^2}{3h^2}\right)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSDBT2</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>z\left(\frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{2z^2}{5h^3} + \frac{8z^4}{5h^5}\right)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
higher-order shear deformation theories, NHSDBT1 and NHSDBT2, were introduced for the first time.

By assuming infinitesimal deformations, strain-displacement relations are [25]:

\[ e_{xx} = \frac{\partial w(x)}{\partial x} - g(z) \frac{\partial^2 w(x)}{\partial x^2} + f(z) \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} \]  

\[ \gamma_{zz} = \frac{\partial^2 w(x)}{\partial x^2} + f(z) \phi(x) + \frac{\partial w(x)}{\partial x} \]  

(8a)  

(8b)

The stress-strain relations by using Hook’s law defined. The Hamilton’s principle is employed to extract equations of motion [26]:

\[ \int_0^L (\delta U - \delta T) \, dt = 0 \]  

(9)

where U and T are the strain and kinetic energies of the beam, respectively. \( \delta \) denotes the variation operator. The strain energy of the beam (U) is calculated as follows [27]:

\[ U = \frac{1}{2} \int_T \sigma_{xx} \varepsilon_{xx} \, dV = \frac{1}{2} \int_T \sigma_{xx} \varepsilon_{xx} + \tau_{xx} \, \gamma_{xx} \, dV \]  

(10)

Finally, the variation of strain energy with respect to \( u(x), w(x) \) and \( \phi(x) \) is shown as follows:

\[ \delta U = \int_T \left[ -\sigma_{xx} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{xx}}{\partial x} - \sigma_{zz} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{zz}}{\partial x} + \tau_{xx} \frac{\partial \gamma_{xx}}{\partial x} - \tau_{zz} \frac{\partial \gamma_{zz}}{\partial x} - \delta \varepsilon_{xx} \frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial x} - \delta \varepsilon_{zz} \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial x} - \delta \gamma_{xx} \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} - \delta \gamma_{zz} \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial x} \right] \, dV \]  

(11)

Where \( A_{xx}, A_{zz}, B_{xx}, D_{xx}, S_{xx} \) and \( T_{xx} \) are defined by:

\[ (A_{xx}, A_{zz}) = -\int_{-A/2}^{A/2} (\sigma_{xx}, \tau_{xx}) \, dz \]  

(12a)

\[ (B_{xx}, D_{xx}) = \int_{-A/2}^{A/2} (g(z), f(z)) \sigma_{xx} \, dz \]  

(12b)

\[ (S_{xx}, T_{xx}) = \int_{-A/2}^{A/2} (g(z), \frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z}) \tau_{xx} \, dz \]  

(12c)

The kinetic energy is obtained as follows [28]:

\[ T = \frac{1}{2} \int_T \left[ \rho \left( \dot{u}^2 + \dot{w}^2 \right) \right] \, dV \]  

(13)

The inertia coefficients are defined as Equation (14) [25]

\[ (I_1, I_2, I_1, I_1, I_1) = -\int_{-A/2}^{A/2} \rho (1, g(z), f(z), g(z)^2, f(z)^2) \, dz \]  

(14)

Finally, the total variation of the kinetic energy associated with the sandwich beam in the integral form is:

\[ \delta T = \frac{1}{2} \int_T \left[ -\rho \dot{u} \left( \dot{u} + \dot{w} \right) + \rho \dot{w} \left( \dot{u} + \dot{w} \right) \right] \, dV + \int_T \rho \dot{w} \left( \dot{u} + \dot{w} \right) \, dV \]  

- \left( I_1 \frac{\partial^2 \dot{u}}{\partial x^2} + I_2 \frac{\partial^2 \dot{w}}{\partial x^2} \right) \, dV \]  

(15)

By substituting the strain energy Equation (11) and kinetic energy Equation (15) into Hamilton's principal, Equation (9), equations of motion may be expressed as Equation (16).

\[ \delta u : \frac{\partial A_{xx}}{\partial x} = I_1 \ddot{u} - I_2 \ddot{w} + 1 \ddot{\phi} \]  

(16a)

\[ \delta \dot{w} : \frac{\partial^2 B_{xx}}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial S_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_{xx}}{\partial x} \]  

(16b)

\[ = I_2 \ddot{w} - I_1 \ddot{\phi} + I_1 \ddot{\phi} + 1 \ddot{\phi} \]  

(16c)

\[ \delta \phi : \frac{\partial D_{xx}}{\partial x} = T_{xx} = I_1 \ddot{\phi} - I_2 \ddot{\phi} + I_1 \ddot{\phi} + 1 \ddot{\phi} \]  

2.3. Analytical Solution

To obtain the theoretical solution, the Galerkin method is considered. According to this method, the displacements functions \( u(x, t), w(x, t) \) and \( \phi(x, t) \) are assumed as follows [28, 29]:

\[ u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{K} \left[ (L-x)^n \, x^n \, m^{-1} \, \bar{u}_m \right] e^{i \omega t} \]  

(17a)

\[ w(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{K} \left[ (L-x)^n \, x^n \, m^{-1} \, \bar{w}_n \right] e^{i \omega t} \]  

(17b)

\[ \phi(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \left[ (L-x)^{i \omega t} \, x^{i \omega t} \, \bar{\phi}_j \right] e^{i \omega t} \]  

(17c)

where \( \bar{u}_m, \bar{w}_n \) and \( \bar{\phi}_j \) are unknown coefficients which will be determine. \( i = \sqrt{-1}, K \) denote the order of series and \( \omega \) is the natural frequency. These functions satisfy the fully clamped boundary conditions. Free vibration analysis of the bi-dimensional functionally graded sandwich beam can be computed from Equation (18) [30]:

\[ \left[ \left[ K \right] - \omega^2 \left[ M \right] \right] \left[ \lambda \right] = 0 \]  

(18)

where, \( [M] \) and \( [K] \) are global mass and stiffness matrix, also \( \omega \) and \( \{\lambda\} \) are natural frequency of the beam and unknown coefficients, respectively.

3. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the free vibration of three types of 2D-FG porous sandwich beam concerning porosity coefficients (\( \eta \)) for clamped-clamped boundary condition are studied and discussed. 2D-FG sandwich beam has various shapes, including (1-8-1), (1-1-1), (2-1-2) and (1-2-1). The first, second and third element indicates the thickness ratio of the top, core and bottom layer,
respectively. Functionally graded material composed of mixture of alumina and aluminum as ceramic and metal, respectively with the material. Their properties are given in Table 2. The influence of different slenderness ratios, $L/h = 5, 10, 15$ and $20$ for various theories, contains two new theories on the non-dimensional natural frequency are investigated. The shear correction factor for TBT and FSDBT theories is considered as $k_s = 5/6$ and for other theories are taken as $k_s = 1$.

The dimensionless fundamental frequency is defined as Equation (19) [31]:

$$
\bar{\omega} = \omega \frac{L^2}{h} \sqrt{\frac{12 \rho_c}{E_c}}
$$

where $L$, $h$ are total length and thickness of the sandwich beam, moreover $\rho_c$, $E_c$ are density and Young’s modulus of the middle layer of the sandwich beam. In this research, the results are calculated for different power-law indexes between 0 to 10 and porosity coefficients are taken as $\eta = 0$, 0.1, and 0.2 in various displacements theories. The total thickness of beam ($h$) is constant and it is 0.1 m in all third Models I, II and III. The width of beam ($b$) considers as 0.1 m and the function indexes ($p_0$ and $q_0$), are taken as 2 to satisfy the clamped-clamped boundary condition. Validation of our formulation and the results are obtained and compared with the results reported in literature [25]. The material properties in Table 3 are used for this purpose. A flowchart of the configuration of the research paper is presented in Figure 6.

The distribution of transverse shear stress along the thickness of the structure for FSDBT, PSDBT, and two present introduced theories are illustrated in Figure 7. The modified shear deformation theory satisfies free stress conditions at $z = -h/2$ and the $z = h/2$ surfaces of the beam. In Table 4, the first frequency of FG porous less beam with $L/h = 10$ for Model I based on the Galerkin method and clamped-clamped support condition for three different power-law indexes are calculated. It is clear the present non-dimensional frequency values are in good agreement with the reference.

Moreover, the accuracy of our results and our new formulation is verified by comparison with the exact solution study for the non-dimensional frequencies of the FG beams [32]. The results are presented in Table 5 by assuming Bouamama et.al. material properties with $L/h = 10$, results show that our theories have high accuracy as proven by the good agreement between the results in all three first frequencies. The percentage below each value in Tables 4 and 5 represents the difference with the corresponding results obtained from references.

### Table 2. Properties of materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Elasticity module (E)</th>
<th>Mass density ($\rho$)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio ($\nu$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alumina</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>3965</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Properties of materials reported by Elmeiche et al. [25]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Elasticity module (E)</th>
<th>Mass density ($\rho$)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio ($\nu$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alumina</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Comparison of the results of $\bar{\omega}$ with Elmeiche et al. [25]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k_w$</th>
<th>FSDBT</th>
<th>PSDBT</th>
<th>FSDBT</th>
<th>FSDBT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Percentage Difference | -0.02% | -0.1% | -0.06% | -0.2% |
In Table 6 natural frequencies of first type 2D-FG considered beam are calculated for all FSDBT, PSDBT, NHSDBT1 and NHSDBT2.

To show the accuracy of results of our two new theories for thick beam, we investigate natural frequencies of the 2D-FG beam in Table 7.

Fixed coefficients and their reduction rates in these two theories are different from other theories, hence this difference causes a change in the transverse shear strain. The results express a convergent by using these two new theories. According to this table, there is a bit different among the results obtained from various shear deformation theories. These differences are due to the fact that, function f(z) have different expansions through the thickness in various theories. It is worth to mention that every extra power in the expansion of function f(z) through the thickness of the structure includes additional unknown variables in those theories. Additionally, physical interpretation of these unknown variables are difficult [33]. Thus, it is better to use such distributions that are simpler with acceptable accuracy. Although two new proposed theories are simpler than other modified shear deformation theory, they are nearly identical in accuracy.

Figure 8, display the non-dimensional frequency (ω) of the 2D-FG porous beam of Model I for various values of power-law indexes (k_s and k_z). These figures are calculated based on new higher shear deformation theory (NHSDBT2) by assuming porosity volume fraction (η) and slenderness ratios (L/h) as 0.1 and 10. It is clear from the figure that the value of natural frequency decreases with increasing FG power-law indexes (k_s and k_z). This is because of the decrease in modulus of elasticity. Also, the flexibility of the sandwich beam increases while the power-law indexes increase. The first line (k_s=0) shows dimensionless frequencies for the one-dimensional FG beams, whereas other lines show the natural frequencies of the 2D-FG beams. It is clear that when the beam change to 2D-FG, the amount of non-dimensional frequencies will decrease.

In Figure 9, the effect of porosity on the natural frequency for NHSDBT1 and NHSDBT2 are illustrated. It is clear that porosity is not a significant parameter for frequency in the low amount of power-law index (k_s < 2). As the porosity increases, the rigidity of the beam decreases, which reduces the stiffness. Decreasing the stiffness, reduces the natural frequency value. In Figure 10, two first modes of the natural frequency of 2D-FG sandwich beam respected to different slenderness ratios (L/h = 5, 10, 15 and 20) are compared. As the numerical value of the porosity parameter increases, we see more effectiveness of graded parameters.

**TABLE 5.** Comparison of the results with Mohamed et al. [32]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theories</th>
<th>ν_1</th>
<th>ν_2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHSDBT1</td>
<td>21.3931</td>
<td>58.3604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSDBT2</td>
<td>21.4001</td>
<td>58.3877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed et al.</td>
<td>22.3730</td>
<td>61.6730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 6.** Comparison of the results of ϖ_0 based on various theories for type 1, L/h = 10, k_s = 0 and η = 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theories</th>
<th>k_s = 0</th>
<th>k_s = 1</th>
<th>k_s = 5</th>
<th>k_s = 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**TABLE 7.** Comparison of the results of ϖ_0 based on various theories for type 1, L/h = 5, k_s = 0 and η = 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theories</th>
<th>k_s = 0</th>
<th>k_s = 1</th>
<th>k_s = 2</th>
<th>k_s = 6</th>
<th>k_s = 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Figure 8.** ϖ_0 of 2D-FG porous beam for various k_z and k_s based on NHSDBT2 theory (L/h = 10, η = 0.1 and Model I)

**Figure 9.** ϖ_0 of 2D-FG porous beam for various k_s and η, based on NHSDBT1 (L/h = 10, k_s = 1 and Model I)
As shown in Figure 10, $L/h = 5$ has more effect on frequencies in comparison with other slenderness ratios. In another word, free vibration frequencies decrease with decreasing value of $L/h$. Reducing the length to a constant thickness reduces the bending moment, which reduces the strain energy, which in turn reduces the natural frequency value. It is good to mention that, the decrement is higher for the second mode. It can be pointed out that slenderness ratios ($L/h$) effects become more prominent in smaller values on the natural frequencies of the beam.

To verify the accuracy of the two newly presented theories (NHSDBT1 and NHSDBT2), Figure 11 is plotted. A good agreement can be observed between the reported results. Our two new theories and parabolic shear deformation formulation come up with close results for Model I.

Figure 12 indicates that non-dimensional natural frequencies for Model II of the 2D-FG porous sandwich beam have good agreement with different theories. The effect of core thickness on the natural frequency for Model II is illustrated. In this figure, it can be observed that 1-8-1 shape determine a larger range of natural frequency than other shapes. This is due to the ratio of the thickness of the core layer, which has no dependency on FG is larger than other shapes. In this Model specially, results of PSDBT always coincide with our two new higher shear deformation beam theories. Due to the graded properties of the beam, the natural frequencies change gradually, which starts from the metal phase and leads to the ceramic. For this reason, the values of the frequencies in the presented graphs have a downward trend.

Like Model II, results in Figure 13 shows great accuracy between theories for Model III, which demonstrates the validity of the new theories. On the other hand, in this figure, the 1-8-1 shape has the smallest range of natural frequencies variation and the 2-1-2 shape has the largest variation range among shapes. This is because FG face sheets are thicker in the 2-1-2 shapes compared to other shapes and the variation in the properties of FG material, affects the frequencies. Generally, amount of frequencies in 1-8-1 shape are higher than the others.

In Figure 14, dimensionless frequencies of the beam are graphed for assumptions of $L/h = 10$, $\eta = 0.1$ and $k_z = 1$ based on NHSDBT2. It is noteworthy that, the effect of FG layers on the natural frequencies of the 2D-FG beam is significant for variable $k_z$. Consequently, the 1-8-1 shape in Model II (Figure 14(a)) and the 2-1-2 shape in Model III (Figure 14(b)) had most affected by the power-law index of functionally graded material, compared to the other shapes in each Model. The stiffness of FG beams will decrease as the power-law index is increased for all shapes types because FG material went to have more ceramic volume. Also, by...
Figure 13. Comparison of $\bar{\omega}$ for various $k_z$ and theories ($L/h = 10$, $k_x = 1$, $\eta = 0.1$ and Model III)

checking the results in model two, it is possible to achieve a unique natural frequency with various shapes. this phenomenon provides a state to use in reality. In Figure 15, based on our new theory (NHSDBT1) the first and second mode shapes of two dimensional functionally graded beam investigated. A fully clamped beam with $L/h = 10$ and $k_z = 1$ for various $k_x$ based on Model I is chosen.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, two new higher order shear deformation beam theories (NHSDBT 1 and 2) to obtain non-dimensional frequencies of 2D-FG porous sandwich beams are introduced. Effect of different shapes, porosity ($\eta$), slenderness ratios ($L/h$) and power-law indexes in both axial and thickness directions ($k_x$ and $k_z$) on natural frequencies of the 2D-FG porous beam in three different beam Models, based on various theories (TBT, FSDBT, PSDBT, NHSDBT 1 and 2) for clamped-clamped boundary condition are investigated. The higher order governing equations are derived by using Hamilton’s principle. In the following, the Galerkin method is employed to solve them. The effect of power-law indexes on shape modes is illustrated. The presented theories are validated for the free vibration of beams. The major results of this paper are briefly explained below:

- By implementing the presented two new theories, a good agreement was obtained. The results indicate that the accuracy of the NHSDBT1 and NHSDBT2 are close to other order shear deformation beam theories although using the presented theories are easier than them.
- There are some parameters that will rise the amounts of natural frequencies by increasing them.

Figure 14. $\bar{\omega}$ of 2D-FG porous beam for various $k_z$ and shapes, based on NHSDBT2 ($L/h = 10$, $k_x = 1$, and $\eta = 0.1$)

Figure 15. The first two mode shape of 2D-FG beam for Model I, based on NHSDBT1 ($L/h = 10$ and $k_z = 1$)
The slenderness ratio (L/h) is one of them, whereas the power-law indexes (k₁ and k₂) and porosity volume fraction (η) show an indirect relation with frequencies. Frequencies are more sensitive to porosity in high-value power-law indexes.

- It is noteworthy that, the effect of FG layers on the natural frequencies of the 2D-FG beam is significant for variables k₁ and k₂. Consequently, by increasing thickness of the functionally graded layer in each shape

- Generally, power-law indexes shifted the node point to the left and resonance will be accrued sooner than the non-FGM beam.
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چکیده
در این پژوهش ارتعاش آزاد تیرهای ساندویچی ساندویچی مدرج تابعی دو جهت متخلخل ارائه شده است. معادلات حاکم بر تیر به کمک اصل همیلتون استخراج شده و با استفاده از روش گلرکین حل شده‌اند. خواص مواد تیر ساندویچی در راستای ضخامت و طول هر لایه از تیر، با توجه به نسبت‌های حجمی مدرج متغیر می‌باشند. خواص مکانیکی تیر بین آلومینیوم و آلومینیوم به عنوان فلز و سرامیک به صورت تدریجی تغییر می‌کنند. ارتعاش آزاد براساس دو تئوری مرتبه بالا بر ارتباط آن و رابطه‌های ارتباطی ارائه شده. برای دو تئوری مرتبه بالا مدل‌های تئوری جدید استخراج گردیده است. نتایج حاصل با نتایج دو مقاله جدید تقابل گردیده است. تاثیر نسبت طول به ضخامت تیر و نسبت‌های حجمی مدرج در رابطه طول و ضخامت تیرهای فرنگی بر روی فرم داده ارائه شده. همچنین، شکل‌های نسبت‌های مختلف بر ابزار ارائه شده است. صحت نتایج حاصل از دو تئوری مرتبه بالا توسط دو مقاله جدید تقابل گردیده است.