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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

The innovation of the present paper is the analytical study of Brownian motion effects on nanofluid flow and electromagnetic force between parallel disks with a heat source. Nanoparticle effects on nondimensional temperature field and velocity of fluid flow were analyzed using Akbari-Ganjii’s Method and radial basis function approximation based on Hardy multiquadric function. Akbari-Ganjii’s Method (AGM) is a strong analytical method that solves any linear and nonlinear differential equation with any degree of variables. Radial basis function is an approximation method for analyzing functions and equations at high degrees, especially when it is necessary to apply the interpolation problem for scattered data on irregular geometry. The results signified that the maximum difference between AGM and RBF methods, for nondimensional horizontal velocity of CuO nanoparticles at $Sq = 1$ and $\eta = 0.2251$ and the minimum difference for the nondimensional vertical velocity of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ nanofluid at $\eta = 0$ is equal to 0.0018. Also, the effects of the Hartmann number ($Ha$) on nondimensional horizontal and vertical velocities field for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ nanoparticles at $\eta = 0$ have a slight difference from the other Hartmann values using the AGM method. The maximum of nondimensional horizontal velocities at $\eta = 0$ and $Ha = 8$ is equal to 1.9354.

\textit{doi: 10.5829/ije.2022.35.08b.21}

\textbf{NOMENCLATURE}

\begin{itemize}
\item[a] Compressed parameter ($a$)
\item[k] thermal conductivity (W/m.K) ($k$)
\item[B] magnetic field ($B$)
\item[$B_o$] primary magnetic field ($B_o$)
\item[S] heat source ($S$)
\item[$S_o$] primary heat source ($S_o$)
\item[H] place of the plate (m) ($H$)
\item[u, v] vlosity components ($u, v$)
\item[x, y] coordinates (m) ($x, y$)
\item[r\textsubscript{ij}] euclidean norm ($r_{ij}$)
\item[x\textsubscript{ij}] centers of radial base function ($x_{ij}$)
\item[\Psi] polynomial ($\Psi$)
\item[N] number of the distinct points at RBF ($N$)
\item[\lambda\textsubscript{ij}] interpolation coefficient at RBF ($\lambda_{ij}$)
\item[\epsilon] shape parameter ($\epsilon$)
\item[T] temperature (K) ($T$)
\item[\mu\textsubscript{f}] viscosity of fluid (kg/m.s) ($\mu_f$)
\item[\eta\textsubscript{f}] effective viscosity of the component static (kg/m.s) ($\eta_{f}$)
\item[\mu\textsubscript{Brownian}] effective viscosity of Brownian motion part (kg/m.s) ($\mu_{Brownian}$)
\item[\mu\textsubscript{eff}] effective viscosity (kg/m.s) ($\mu_{eff}$)
\item[H] electromagnetic force (N) ($H$)
\item[Pr\textsubscript{f}] electromagnetic force (N) ($Pr_f$)
\item[Sq] squeeze number ($\sqrt{\frac{S}{\eta}}$) ($Sq$)
\item[H\textsubscript{a}] hartmann number ($Ha$) ($Ha$)
\item[\rho\textsubscript{nf}] density of nanoparticles (kg/m3) ($\rho_{nf}$)
\item[\rho\textsubscript{f}] density of fluid (kg/m3) ($\rho_f$)
\item[\rho\textsubscript{b}] density of nanoparticles (kg/m3) ($\rho_b$)
\item[\sigma\textsubscript{nf}] electrical conductivity of nanofluid (s/m) ($\sigma_{nf}$)
\item[\sigma\textsubscript{f}] electrical conductivity of fluid (s/m) ($\sigma_f$)
\item[\sigma\textsubscript{b}] electrical conductivity of nanoparticles (s/m) ($\sigma_b$)
\end{itemize}
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1. INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic effects on a nanofluid with squeezing flow and Brownian motion effects between two parallel disks have been analytically investigated. Squeezing flow is used in industrial applications such as metallurgy, magnetic hydrodynamic (MHD) generators [1], and petroleum industries. Asefi et al. [2] examined the hydromagnetic flow of a micropolar fluid on a tensile sheet with unstable properties. Also, they investigated the conduction heat transfer numerically with ununiformed heat sources. Ravindran et al. [3] studied the effects of chemical reaction, heat generation, and absorption on unstable MHD flow. This process takes place on top of a vertical cone with ununiformed mass transfer. Motahar [4] studied the effect of entropy production on the magnetic hydrodynamic magnetic flow of a nanofluid in a vertical channel. Asgari and Tariverdilo [5] studied the flow of the MHD boundary layer of an Oldroyd-B fluid in the vicinity of the vertical stretching sheet. They used a non-thermal flux to define the equilibrium energy relation. Han et al. [6,7] studied the significance of Hall current and Joule heating on the dynamics of Darcy–Forchheimer peristaltic flow of Rabinowitsch fluid and also studied partial slips and temperature Jumps of a nanofluid flow over a stretched or shrinking surface. Rashidi et al. [8] studied the modeling and analysis of sensitivity and thermal conductivity of water-based ethylene glycol nanofluids with alumina nanoparticles. Alagumalai et al [9] studied conceptual analysis framework development to understand barriers to nanofluid commercialization. Nouri et al. [10, 11] and Dadsetadi et al. [12] analytically and numerically investigated the system of fractional differential equations based on the hybrid functions. Pourziaei Araban et al. [13] numerically surveyed a cavity with heat source using the lattice Boltzmann method based on the D3Q19 model and compared with experimental results.

In the present study, a combination of squeezing flow with Brownian motion effects and electromagnetic force is modeled to improve the thermal field between two parallel disks with a heat source. All numerical implementations and executions have been done by Maple software to investigate the nondimensional temperature and velocity field due to nanoparticle effects and a heat source between two parallel disks with electromagnetic boundary conditions, alongside hardware configuration: Core (TM) i3-7130U CPU @ 2.70 GHz 2.71 GHz 4GB RAM. First, with the similarity transformation, the governing equations with partial differential equations (PDE) transform to a set of nonlinear ordinary differential equations (ODE). These equations are simulated according to their boundary conditions with AGM and RBF based on Hardy multiquadric (MQ) function. Finally, to study more precisely, the Hartmann number, the squeeze number, and the heat source parameter between two parallel disks have been investigated.

2. PROBLEM DEFINITION

According to Figure 1, if we consider the bottom disk at \( z = 0 \), the upper disk is located at \( z = 2H\sqrt{(1 - at)} \). \( H \) is a place of the plate at \( z = 0 \). \( a \) is a compressed parameter that at \( \alpha > 0 \), referred two plates were compressed until \( t = \frac{1}{\alpha} \) and so, at \( \alpha < 0 \), two plates separated from each other. In this case, the general governing equations on physics of the problem are as follows:

\[
\bar{v} \cdot \bar{V} = 0
\]

\[
\rho \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial t} = -\bar{\nabla}p + \mu \nabla^2 \bar{v} + \bar{f}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{k}{\rho c_p} \nabla^2 T + \frac{S}{\rho c_p} T
\]

Here, \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity, \( \rho \) is the fluid density, \( k \) is the thermal conductivity coefficient and \( S \) is a heat source that is defined as follows [14].

![Figure 1. Schematic diagrams of MHD nanofluid flow that compressed between two limited parallel disks](image-url)
\[ S = \frac{S_0}{(1 - at)} \] (4)

A magnetic field \( \vec{B} \) applied perpendicular to the disk plates goes downwards. Of course, the magnetic Reynolds number is very small and the induced magnetic field is also neglected. So, a magnetic field is defined as follows:
\[ \vec{B} = B_0 \hat{z}, \quad B = B_0(1 - at) \] (5)

The electric current \( \vec{J} \) and the magnetic field \( \vec{B} \) created the electromagnetic force \( \vec{F} \) defined as follows:
\[ \vec{J} = \sigma [\nabla \times \vec{B}] \]
\[ \vec{F} = \vec{J} \times \vec{B} = \sigma [\nabla \times \vec{B}] \times \vec{B} \] (6)

We replaced expressions (4), (5), and (6) in momentum and energy equations for the 2D unsteady flow of a nanofluid. So, the following results are obtained [15]:
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \] (7)
\[
\rho_n \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \mu_n \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{\rho_n B^2 u}{\sigma_n} \]
(8)
\[
\rho_n \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \mu_n \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) \]
(9)
\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{k_n}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{s}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \]
(10)

The boundary conditions for governing equations according to present physics are expressed as follows:
\[ \nu = v_w = \frac{dh}{dt} = -aH \sqrt{1 - at} \]
\[ \text{at} \quad y = h(t) \]
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \] (11)
\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \]

The effective thermal conductivity of the component static entities and a Brownian motion part due to micromixing in suspensions were introduced by Koo and Kleinstreuer [19] as follows:
\[ k_{eff} = k_{static} + k_{brownian} \] (15)

The component static based on Maxwell’s classical correlation significantly impacts the effective thermal conductivity.
\[ k_{static} = \left( 1 + \frac{3}{(\frac{2}{t})^{\frac{1}{3}} - (\frac{2}{t})^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right) k_f \] (16)

Koo [20] obtained a particle’s Brownian motion via simulating Stokes’ flow around a nano-particle and combined the interaction between nano-particles and the temperature effect. So, the Brownian motion part is presented as follows:
\[ k_{brownian} = 5 \times 10^4 \phi \rho_f c_p f \sqrt{\frac{k_f}{\nu_c}} g(T, \phi, d_p) \] (17)

Li [21] developed the \( g' \) function with particle diameter, temperature, and volume fraction.
\[ g'(T, \phi, d_p) = (-26.5933 - 0.4038 \ln(d_p) - 33.3517 \ln(\phi) - 1.9158 \ln(\phi) \ln(d_p) + 0.0642 \ln(d_p)^2 \ln(T) + (48.4034 - 9.7978 \ln(d_p) + 190.2456 \ln(\phi) + 10.9285 \ln(\phi) \ln(d_p) - 0.7201 \ln(d_p)^2) \] (18)

Some of the governed equations should be changed for modeling the nanofluid because of changes in the fluid thermal conductivity, density, heat capacitance, and electrical conductivity, some of the governed equations should be changed. Thermo-physical nanofluid properties except for density, which is obtained by Boussinesq approximation, are assumed invariant and are given in Table 1 [16].

The electrical conductivity is written as [17]:
\[ \frac{\sigma_{nf}}{\sigma_f} = 1 + \frac{3}{(\frac{2}{t})^{\frac{1}{3}} - (\frac{2}{t})^{\frac{1}{3}}} \phi \] (12)

The effect of density at the reference temperature is given by:
\[ \rho_{nf} = (1 - \phi) \rho_f + \phi \rho_s \] (13)

and the heat capacitance of nanofluid can be given as follows [18]:
\[ \left( \rho c_p \right)_{nf} = (1 - \phi) \left( \rho c_p \right)_f + \phi \left( \rho c_p \right)_s \] (14)

### Table 1. Thermo-physical properties of water and nanoparticles [16]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>( \rho ) (kg/m(^3))</th>
<th>( C_p ) (J/kgK)</th>
<th>( K ) (W/mK)</th>
<th>( \mu ) \times 10(^8) (Nsm(^{-2}))</th>
<th>( \sigma ) (Sm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure water</td>
<td>997.10</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper oxide (CuO)</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.96 \times 10(^7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumina (Al2O3)</td>
<td>3970</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.69 \times 10(^7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The effective viscosity of the static and a Brownian motion part due to micromixing in suspensions was introduced by Koo and Kleinstreuer [16] as follows:

\[
\mu_{\text{eff}} = \mu_{\text{static}} + \mu_{\text{Brownian}} = \mu_{\text{static}} + \frac{k_{\text{Brownian}}}{\kappa_f} \times \left( \frac{\mu_f}{\Pr_f} \right) \tag{19}
\]

The viscosity of the nanofluid containing a dilute suspension of small rigid spherical particles is given by the Brinkman model [18] as:

\[
\mu_{\text{static}} = \frac{\mu_f (1 - \phi)^{2/3}}{\Pr_f} \tag{20}
\]

For the partial differential Equations 7-10, we introduce the following similarity parameters [22]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta &= \frac{y}{1 + 3at} \\
u &= \frac{ax}{2(1 - at)} f'(\eta) \\
v &= -\frac{at}{2(1 - at)} f'(\eta) \\
\theta &= \frac{T}{T_M}
\end{align*}
\]

By replacing these parameters in the main equations, the dimensionless equations are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\mu_f}{\mu_f} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right)^4 f'' - 3Q \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right)^3 f' &= 0 \\
3f'' + f'f'' - f f'(3) &= -Ha^2 \left( \frac{\sigma_f}{\sigma_f} \right) f'' = 0 \\
\left( \frac{\kappa_{\text{eff}}}{\kappa_f} \right) \theta'' - PrS \left( \frac{\rho_c}{\rho_f} \right) \frac{f - \eta}{\theta} + S \theta = 0
\end{align*}
\]

The boundary conditions for the non-dimensional momentum and energy equations are presented as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
f(0) &= 0, \quad f''(0) = 0, \quad f(1) = 1, \\
f'(1) &= 0, \\
\theta(1) &= 1, \quad \theta'(0) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \(Ha\) is the Hartmann number, \(S\) is the squeeze number, \(Pr\) is the Prandtl number and \(S\) is the heat source parameter. So, the parameters presented are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
Ha &= H \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_f}{\rho_f}} \\
S &= \frac{at^2}{2e_f} \\
Pr &= \frac{\mu_f (\rho_c)}{\rho_f \kappa_f} \\
S &= \frac{3Ha^2}{\kappa_f}
\end{align*}
\]

### 3. Simulation Methodology

#### 3.1. Radial Basis Function Approximation

The approximate method of the Radial Basis Functions (RBF) is an efficient tool for analyzing functions and equations at high degrees, especially when it is necessary to apply the interpolation problem for scattered data on an irregular geometry [23]. The general form of the radial base function is presented as follows:

\[
\Phi_i[(r_j, \rho)] = \Phi(\|x - x_j\|), \quad \Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}
\]

Here, \(r_j = \|x - x_j\|\) is a Euclidean norm, and \(x_j, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\). Also, \(x_j\) is the center of radial base functions. The interpolation of the radial basis functions is presented as follows:

\[
u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j \Phi(\|x - x_j\|)
\]

If exist \(N\) distinct points \(\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{N}\) and values \(\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{N}\) such as these points are given, so, coefficients \(\lambda_j\) are specified using the interpolation condition as follows:

\[
u(x_j) = f_j, \quad j = 0, 1, ..., N
\]

Approximation of function \(u(x)\) can be written as a linear combination of the \(N\) radial basis function as follows:

\[
u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j \Phi_j(x) + \Psi(x)
\]

Also, the above approximation can be written without adding \(\Psi\) polynomials. In the present study, the radial basis function based on Hardy multiquadric (MQ) is presented as follows:

\[
\Phi_j(x) = \sqrt{r_j^2 + \epsilon^2}
\]

Here, \(\epsilon\) is a shape parameter that significantly affects on the accuracy of the answer [24, 25].

#### 3.2. Application of RBF

For the application of RBF based on Hardy multiquadric (MQ) on governing equations of the present problem, the general form of the nondimensional momentum and energy equations are considered as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
f' &= f(\eta, f, f', f'', f''') \\
\theta'' &= \theta(\eta, \theta, \theta', f)
\end{align*}
\]

The boundary conditions for governing equations of the present problem are presented as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
f(0) &= 0, \quad f''(0) = 0, \quad f(1) = 1, \\
f'(1) = 0, \quad \theta(1) = 1, \quad \theta'(0) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Radial basis function based on Hardy multiquadric (MQ) for nondimensional momentum and energy equations are presented as follows:
\[ f(\eta) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_i \varphi_i(\eta) \]
\[ \theta(\eta) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} v_i \varphi_i(\eta) \]

Also, the \( p \)th derivation of the nondimensional \( f \) function is represented as follows:
\[ \frac{d^p f(\eta)}{d\eta^p} = \frac{d^p}{d\eta^p} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_i \varphi_i(\eta) \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_i \varphi_i^p(\eta) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_i \varphi_i^p (\eta) \frac{d \eta^p}{d \eta^p} \]
\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_i \varphi_i^p (\eta) \]

Nondimensional \( \theta \) is represented according to Equation (38), so, with applied the Equations (37) and (38) into Equation (35):
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \theta_i^4(\eta) = F(\eta) \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \varphi_i(\eta) \sum_{i=0}^{N} v_i \theta_i^4(\eta), \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \theta_i^4(\eta) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} v_i \theta_i^4(\eta), \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N} v_i \varphi_i^4(\eta), \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \varphi_i^4(\eta), \]
\[ \theta(\eta) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \varphi_i(\eta) \sum_{i=0}^{N} v_i \theta_i^4(\eta)}{\sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \varphi_i(\eta)} \]

Set the residual (39) equal to zero at the set of collocation points \( \eta_j \) as follows:
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \theta_i^4(\eta_j) - F(\eta_j) \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \varphi_i(\eta_j) \sum_{i=0}^{N} v_i \theta_i^4(\eta_j) = 0 \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \theta_i^4(\eta_j) - \theta(\eta_j) \sum_{i=0}^{N} v_i \varphi_i(\eta_j) \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \theta_i^4(\eta_j) = 0 \]

Then, for solving \( M+N+4 \) nonlinear equations, we set the boundary conditions (36) as follows:
\[ f(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \varphi_i(0) = 0, \]
\[ f'(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \varphi_i(1) = 1, \]
\[ f''(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \varphi_i''(0) = 0, \]
\[ f'''(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_i \varphi_i'''(1) = 1, \]

Equations (40) and (41) are represented the \( M+N+2 \) nonlinear equations and unknowns, and with solving these equations, \( w_i \) and \( v_i \) are obtained. So, by substituting \( w_i \) and \( v_i \) at Equations (37), \( f \) and \( \theta \) are obtained.

3. 3. Application of Akbari-Ganjii's Method

This method has been presented by Akbari-Ganjii and applied by many researchers [26-30]. For the application of AGM to the nondimensional momentum and energy equations, the detail of these equations are considered as follows:
\[ F(\eta) = \left( \frac{\nu C_f}{\alpha} \right) f^{(4)} - S q \left( \frac{\nu C_f}{\alpha} \right) \left( \eta f^{(3)} + 3 f'' \right) + f'' f''' - f^{(3)} - 2 H(\alpha) \left( \frac{\nu C_f}{\alpha} \right) f'' = 0 \]
\[ \theta(\eta) = \left( \frac{\nu C_f}{\alpha} \right) \theta'' - P_r S q \left( \frac{\nu C_f}{\alpha} \right) \left[ f(\eta - \theta) \right] + S \theta = 0 \]
Total answers with constant coefficients for nondimensional momentum and energy equations are considered as follows:
\[ f(\eta) = a_0 \eta^0 + a_1 \eta^1 + a_2 \eta^2 + a_3 \eta^3 + a_4 \eta^4 + a_5 \eta^5, \]
\[ \theta = b_0 \eta^0 + b_1 \eta^1 + b_2 \eta^2 + b_3 \eta^3. \]
Equation (43) substituted into governing Equations (42) and considered boundary conditions as follows:
\[ f(0) = 0, \quad \frac{df}{d\eta}(0) = 0, \]
\[ f(1) = 1, \quad \frac{df}{d\eta}(1) = 0, \]
\[ \theta(1) = 1, \quad \frac{d\theta}{d\eta}(0) = 0 \]
Since the proposed problem engaged with four trial functions which contain ten constant coefficients and this geometry has six equations according to Equation (44) and created four additional equations in the following order:
\[ F(0) = 0, \quad F(1) = 0, \quad \theta(0) = 0, \quad \theta(1) = 0. \]
So by utilizing the above procedures, we have obtained a set of polynomials containing ten equations and ten constants which by solving them we would be able to obtain Equation (43). By substituting obtained constant coefficients from mentioned procedures, Equation (43) could easily be yielded nondimensional momentum and energy equations, respectively as follows:
\[ f(\eta) = -0.48166 \eta^2 + 0.46311 \eta^3 + 1.0184 \eta \]
\[ \theta(\eta) = 0.1902 \eta^2 + 0.2699 \eta^3 + 0.5399 \]

3. 4. Differential Transform Method (DTM)

Basic definitions and operations of differential transformation are introduced as follows. Differential transformation of the function \( f(\eta) \) is defined as follows:
\[ F(k) = \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{d^k f(\eta)}{d \eta^k} \right)_{\eta=\eta_0} \]
In Equation (46), \( f(\eta) \) is the original function and \( F(k) \) is the transformed function which is called the \( T \) -function (it is also called the spectrum of the \( f(\eta) \) at \( \eta = \eta_0 \) in the \( k \) domain). The differential inverse transformation of \( F(k) \) is defined as:
\[ f(\eta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F(k)(\eta - \eta_0)^k \]
By combining Equations (46) and (47) \( f(\eta) \) can be obtained:

\[
f(\eta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{d^k f(\eta)}{d\eta^k} \right]_{\eta=\eta_0} \frac{(\eta-\eta_0)^k}{k!}\]

Equation (48) implies that the concept of the differential transformation is derived from Taylor’s series expansion, but the method does not evaluate the derivatives symbolically. However, relative derivatives are calculated by an iterative procedure that is described by the transformed equations of the original functions. From the definitions of Equations (46) and (47), it is easily proven that the transformed functions comply with the basic mathematical operations shown below. In real applications, the function \( f(\eta) \) in Equation (48) is expressed by a finite series and can be written as:

\[
f(\eta) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} F(k)(\eta - \eta_0)^k\]

Equation (49) implies that \( f(\eta) = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} F(k)(\eta - \eta_0)^k \) is negligibly small, where \( N \) is series size. Theorems to be used in the transformation procedure, which can be evaluated from Equations (46) and (47), are given by Sheikholeslami and Domairry Ganji [31]. Also, refer to the same reference to solve the model with DTM.

3. 5. Validation for Analytical Methods  
The comparison and verification between the simulation of the nondimensional velocity profile of CuO-water multiphase fluid flow and the Differential Transformation Method (DTM) by Sheikholeslami and Domairry Ganji. [31] is represented in Figure 2. This figure shows the velocity profiles are close together and according to the physical condition of the problem, there is good accuracy between the Akbari-Ganji’s Method (AGM) and Radial Basis Function approximation (RBF) based on Hardy multiquadric (MQ) function and DTM [31]. Table 2, represented the numerical comparison between the Akbari-Ganji’s Method (AGM), Radial Basis Function approximation (RBF) based on Hardy multiquadric (MQ) function, and Differential Transformation Method (DTM) as shown in Figure 2.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION  
In this research, Brownian motion with heat source effects between parallel disks on nondimensional velocity and temperature fields are illustrated. Also, electromagnetic force effects on squeezing flow between parallel disks are surveyed. Figure 3 is represented the effect of squeeze number on nondimensional velocity and temperature profiles of copper oxide (CuO) nanoparticles. The velocity and temperature profiles are depicted using Akbari-Ganji’s Method (AGM) and Radial Basis Function approximation (RBF) based on Hardy multiquadric (MQ) function. At the AGM method, the vertical velocity of nanofluid flow is increased with increasing squeeze number, and with extending more than \( Sq = 1 \), the concavity of the diagrams is close to each other and almost coincides. But at a squeeze number more than \( Sq = 1 \), the vertical velocity profile of nanofluid flow is slightly reduced. At the RBF method, the vertical velocity of the nanofluid is increased with the enhancement of the squeeze number. The graphs converge and the vertical velocity of the nanoparticles is not changed significantly because the graphs are matched together.

The numerical values of nondimensional velocity and temperature of CuO nanoparticles are illustrated at AGM and RBF (MQ) methods and the numerical difference (\(|\Delta| = |\text{AGM} - \text{RBF}|\) between them in Tables 3-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \eta )</th>
<th>RBF</th>
<th>AGM</th>
<th>DTM [31]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1984</td>
<td>0.1964</td>
<td>0.2033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3871</td>
<td>0.3834</td>
<td>0.3962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5566</td>
<td>0.5518</td>
<td>0.5683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6994</td>
<td>0.6943</td>
<td>0.7116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8116</td>
<td>0.8072</td>
<td>0.8225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8933</td>
<td>0.8900</td>
<td>0.9014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9477</td>
<td>0.9457</td>
<td>0.9526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9800</td>
<td>0.9791</td>
<td>0.9821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9957</td>
<td>0.9955</td>
<td>0.9962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. Comparison between AGM (a,b) and RBF (c,d) for effects of squeeze number on dimensionless vertical velocity and temperature profile for CuO-water multiphase fluid flow at $S = -1$, $Pr = 6.2$, $sq = 3$.

Table 3. Numerical comparison of vertical velocity between AGM and RBF for CuO-water multiphase fluid flow at $Ha = 1$, $S = -1$, $Pr = 6.2$, $sq = 3$.

| $\eta$ | AGM | RBF(MQ) | $|A|$ |
|--------|------|---------|------|
| 0.0    | 0    | 0       | 0    |
| 0.1    | 0.1850 | 0.1629 | 0.0221 |
| 0.2    | 0.3628 | 0.3215 | 0.0413 |
| 0.3    | 0.5265 | 0.4718 | 0.0547 |
| 0.4    | 0.6702 | 0.6104 | 0.0598 |
| 0.5    | 0.7894 | 0.7330 | 0.0564 |
| 0.6    | 0.8810 | 0.8350 | 0.0460 |
| 0.7    | 0.9445 | 0.9126 | 0.0319 |
| 0.8    | 0.9816 | 0.9643 | 0.0173 |
| 0.9    | 0.9973 | 0.9920 | 0.0053 |
| 1.0    | 1    | 1       | 1    |

Table 4. Numerical comparison of horizontal velocity between AGM and RBF for CuO-water multiphase fluid flow at $Ha = 1$, $S = -1$, $Pr = 6.2$, $sq = 3$.

| $\eta$ | AGM | RBF(MQ) | $|A|$ |
|--------|------|---------|------|
| 0.0    | 1.8623 | 1.6372 | 0.2251 |
| 0.1    | 1.8257 | 1.6142 | 0.2115 |
| 0.2    | 1.7182 | 1.5301 | 0.1681 |
| 0.3    | 1.5463 | 1.4507 | 0.0956 |
| 0.4    | 1.3209 | 1.3135 | 0.0074 |
| 0.5    | 1.0571 | 1.1310 | 0.0739 |
| 0.6    | 0.7745 | 0.9031 | 0.1286 |
| 0.7    | 0.4971 | 0.6463 | 0.1492 |
| 0.8    | 0.2531 | 0.3913 | 0.1382 |
| 0.9    | 0.0751 | 0.1695 | 0.0944 |
| 1.0    | 0    | 0       | 0    |

These Tables are shown at $sq = 3$ and $\eta = 0$, the maximum difference in horizontal velocity is 0.2251 and the minimum difference at $\eta = 0.9$ is 0.0053. Also, the nondimensional temperature at $\eta = 0.3$ and $\eta = 0.4$ has a maximum difference of 0.0627 and the minimum difference at $\eta = 0.9$ is equal to 0.0213.

Figure 4 represents the effects of squeeze number on nondimensional vertical velocity and temperature profile for Al$_2$O$_3$-water multiphase fluid flow using AGM and RBF (MQ) at $S = -1$, $Pr = 6.2$, and $Ha = 1$.

This figure has depicted the same behavior as CuO-water multiphase fluid flow; however, there is no
TABLE 5. Numerical comparison of temperature between AGM and RBF for CuO-water multiphase fluid flow at $Ha = 1, S = -1, Pr = 6.2, Sq = 3.$

| $\eta$ | AGM | RBF (MQ) | $|\Delta|$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.1 | 0.1850 | 0.1629 | 0.0221 |
| 0.2 | 0.3628 | 0.3215 | 0.0413 |
| 0.3 | 0.5265 | 0.4718 | 0.0547 |
| 0.4 | 0.6702 | 0.6104 | 0.0598 |
| 0.5 | 0.7894 | 0.7330 | 0.0564 |
| 0.6 | 0.8810 | 0.8350 | 0.0460 |
| 0.7 | 0.9445 | 0.9126 | 0.0319 |
| 0.8 | 0.9816 | 0.9643 | 0.0173 |
| 0.9 | 0.9973 | 0.9920 | 0.0053 |
| 1.0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Figure 4. Comparison between AGM(a,b) and RBF (c,d) for effects of squeeze number on dimensionless vertical velocity and temperature profile for Al2O3-water multiphase fluid flow at $S = -1, Pr = 6.2,$ and $Ha = 1.$

Significant change with increasing the squeeze number on this nanofluid in the RBF method.

Figure 5 investigated the effect of the Hartmann number on Alumina ($Al_2O_3$)-water multiphase fluid flow using AGM and RBF methods. With an increase in the Hartmann number, the nanofluid’s nondimensional horizontal and vertical velocities are increased using the AGM method. Except for $Ha = 0,$ the graphs converged together and the heat transfer didn’t change by increasing the Hartmann number. With increasing Hartmann number, the nondimensional horizontal and vertical velocities of nanofluid are increased, the graphs converged together, and the heat transfer didn’t change using the RBF method.

Figure 6 illustrated the effect of the Prandtl number on the nondimensional temperature profiles of Al2O3 and CuO nanofluids using the AGM method. According to this figures, maximum values for nondimensional temperature profile were at $Pr = 2.$
Figure 5. Comparison between AGM (a,b) and RBF (c,d) for effects of Hartman number on dimensionless vertical velocity and temperature profile for Al2O3-water multiphase fluid flow at $S=-1$, $Pr=6.2$, and $Sq=1$.

Figure 6. Comparison between Al2O3 and CuO nanoparticles for effects of Prandtl number on dimensionless temperature profile at $S=-1$, $Sq=1$, and $Ha=1$.

Figure 7 shows the residual error function graph for the Al2O3 nanoparticle because there is no exact solution in this geometry, we obtain the residual error function using the RBF method.
5. CONCLUSION

In the present study, squeezing flow with Brownian motion effects on nondimensional vertical, horizontal velocity, and temperature profiles were surveyed between parallel disks with a heat source. Also, for simulating the nanoparticle effects on nondimensional temperature fields and velocity profiles were used the Akbari-Ganjii’s Method (AGM) and Radial Basis Function approximation (RBF) were based on Hardy multiquadratic (MQ) function. We investigated Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticle’s effects on nondimensional velocity and temperature fields between parallel disks with a heat source and electromagnetic force. Finally, some of the main points are summarized:

- The effects of squeeze number on nondimensional horizontal and vertical velocity and temperature field for Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticles have a similar behaviour using the AGM method.
- With increasing the squeeze number on Al₂O₃ and CuO nanofluid flow, the nondimensional temperature field did not change using the RBF method.
- The minimum difference between AGM and RBF methods for nondimensional vertical velocities field at \( \eta = 0.9 \) and \( Ha = 8 \).
- The effects of the Hartmann number on nondimensional vertical velocities field for Al₂O₃ nanoparticles at \( \eta = 0 \) have a slight difference from the other Hartmann values using the AGM method.
- Also, increasing the Prandtl number for Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticles in AGM and RBF methods, decreased the nondimensional temperature field, which has the minimum difference between AGM and RBF methods at \( Pr = 1, \eta = 0.9 \) is equal to 0.0019.
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چکیده

نتایج قطعه حاضر بررسی تحلیل اثرات حرکت براوی بر جریان نانوپارسال و نوری الکترومغناطیسی بین دیسکهای موازی با منفی حرارت است. آزمایشات است. روش اکبری گنجی با استفاده از روش عدد هارتمن بر مورد با هر درجه افراد متعادل از متغیرهای شعاعی و تابع پایه شعاعی بر اساس تابع عددی در نظر گرفته شده است. با استفاده از روش تحلیل تابع است. در صحنه دیفرانسیل خطی و غیرخطی را با هر درجه افزایش می‌دهم که این تابع با هم جمع‌بندی شده است. تابع پایه شعاعی برای بررسی درجه و تحلیل تابع و معادلات در درجات بالا است. در نوبید زمانی که است. در این دسته مسئله از پارامترهای برقراری در نوبید نشان داد که حداکثر نتایج در بررسی اکبری گنجی و تابع پایه شعاعی برای سرعت افزایش قرار می‌گیرد. بقیه راه را نسبت به پارامترهای مورد نظر یافته در 1 = 0.1 برای 2701 و حداکثر نتایج در بررسی بقیه 2747 کاری که در نوبیدt = 0 می‌باشد Al_{2}O_{3} و 

یک مقدار همان به استفاده از روش AGM دارد. حداکثر سرعت های افکت برای سرعت در 0.049 مورد با 0.038 و مقدار همان به استفاده از روش AGM در 1.10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2004.02.017