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ABSTRACT

In this paper, a new power, heating and hydrogen cogeneration cycle from Sabalan geothermal two wells is proposed and analyzed. In the proposed system, a new double flash cycle and organic Rankine cycle are used for power production. A proton exchange membrane (PEM) is also used for hydrogen production and the domestic water heater is used for heating. The impacts of some design parameters, such as separators pressures, evaporator temperature, pinch point temperature difference and PEM temperature on the integrated system performance are investigated and then optimization is done from exergy point of view for three considered scenarios. According to the optimization results, the value of heating, net output power, hydrogen production and thermal and exergy efficiencies of the cogeneration system are obtained as 15751 kW, 18436 kW, 11.13 kg/h, 29.48% and 65.23%, respectively.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the production and use of fossil fuels energy resources or nuclear power plants are associated with environmental pollution and high costs. On the other hand, geothermal energy, in addition to being renewable, has less emissions than the fossil fuels and is one of the clean energies. The advantage of geothermal energy compared to other renewable energy resources (solar and wind) is consistency and reliability [1].

Cogeneration systems are often used for different goals with different resources [2]. Zare [3] compared two tri-generation (heating, cooling and power production) systems for a geothermal source temperature of 120 °C using Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) and Kalina Cycle (KC). It was shown that the system with KC is more efficient compared to ORC-based one.

Recently, the Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) has been used more because of its much greater consistency with geothermal sources and the purified hydrogen production [4, 5]. Guebi et al. [6] examined a combined cycle with the modified ORC and PEM driven by geothermal energy to produce power and hydrogen. They showed that the energy efficiency is 3.511% and exergy efficiency is 67.58% for R245fa in optimum condition. Yuksel et al. [7] analyzed a novel combined cycle to produce hydrogen, oxygen, cooling, power, heat and hot water by geothermal energy. According to their results, the energy and exergy efficiencies were calculated as 42.59% and 48.24%, respectively. A new configuration to produce electricity, cooling, heating, hot water and hydrogen was investigated by Ratlamwala et al. [8], they reported a daily hydrogen production of 1.85-11.67 kg by increasing geothermal temperature from 440 to 500 K.

The Sabalan geothermal region in northwestern of Iran is one of the under development Geothermal Power Plants (GPP) [9]. Seyedarahimi-Niaaq et al. developed a 3D numerical model to simulate the Sabalan GPP wells. To evaluate the subsurface geological condition, three examination wells were drilled in 2002-2004 and two of the wells with different temperatures and pressures were successful [10]. From the thermodynamic and exergoeconomic concepts, single flash and double flash
with four kinds of ORCs were examined by Bina et al. for Sabalan GPP [11]. Bina et al. [12] analyzed and compared single and double flash for Sabalan GPP and observed that double flash cycle has better performance compared to the single flash while single flash has the lowest total energy cost rate. Aali et al. [13] proposed a new configuration of combined double flash/ORC for the Sabalan geothermal wells using actual data. They indicated that in optimum condition with R141b, exergy efficiency and specific cost of output were calculated as 54.87% and $4.766/GJ$, respectively. For two wells of Sabalan, Abdolalipour et al. [14] examined a new combined two single flash, transcritical CO2 and ORC to produce power and they showed that the net power, thermal and exergy efficiencies are 19934 kW, 17.05%, 65.38%, respectively. In another study, they used KC 11 instead of ORC and observed that the net output power, thermal and exergy efficiencies increased [15].

Regarding the previous works, it's found that many researches have examined GPP for one well, and it is remarkable that there are few researches which have analyzed the Sabalan GPP for cogeneration goals. In this research, a new cogeneration cycle to produce power, hydrogen, oxygen, heating from two Sabalan wells was investigated. This cogeneration cycle includes a new double flash configuration, PEM, and domestic water heater (DWH). The purposes of this study are multifold and as the following:

- Using different thermodynamic wells from Sabalan GPP as the energy source for cogeneration cycle to produce power, heating, and hydrogen.
- A comprehensive parametric study to investigate the effect of parameters on the performance of the new cycle.
- Optimization of the cogeneration cycle and new double flash/ORC in various scenarios.

2. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The schematic diagram of the new cogeneration cycle which uses two wells of Sabalan GPP as the heat source is shown in Figure 1. In the proposed cycle, a new configuration of double flash/ORC for power production, the PEM for hydrogen production, and the DWH for heating are used. The pressure of exhausted saturated liquid from the separator 1 (state 11) reduces in the valve 2 and enters the separator 2. The high-pressure turbine (HPT) exhaust (state 4) is mixed with the saturated vapor coming from the separator 2 (state 13) and produces power in low-pressure turbine (LPT). The saturated liquid from the separator 2 (state 14) is used in ORC for more power production. The ORCT provides the required energy to electrolyzer in PEM for hydrogen production. In short, the PEM system is capable of producing hydrogen and oxygen from the water while consuming power and heat. The water at the ambient temperature while the geothermal liquid passes through the membrane converter (step 15-16) reaches the electrolyzer temperature, and then the hydrogen exits from the cathode and the mixed flow of water and oxygen exits from the anode in the proton membrane fuel cell. The outgoing hydrogen, which has reached the ambient temperature is stored in a tank to be transferred to the place of consumption. Also, oxygen is separated from the outlet flow of anode by the oxygen separator. Due to the high outlet temperature at point 16, the DWH will be used for heating. The outlet water at point 17 will then be reinjected to the earth wells.

2.1. PEM MODELING

The flow rates of H2, O2 and H2O can be defined as follows [4, 5]:

\[ \dot{N}_{H_2,\text{out}} = \frac{J}{2F} = \dot{N}_{H_2O,\text{reacted}} \]  

\[ \dot{N}_{O_2,\text{out}} = \frac{J}{4F} \]  

\[ \dot{N}_{H_2O,\text{out}} = \dot{N}_{H_2O,\text{in}} - \frac{J}{2F} \]

where \( F \) and \( J \) are the the Faraday constant and the current density in the PEM system, respectively. The required electricity energy (\( \dot{E}_{\text{electric}} \)) in the PEM electrolyzer process can be defined as follows [4, 5]:

\[ \dot{E}_{\text{electric}} = JV \]  

\[ V = V_0 + V_{\text{ohm}} + V_{\text{act,c}} + V_{\text{act,a}} \]

where \( V \) is the electric potential that is calculated from Equation (5) and \( V_0, V_{\text{ohm}}, V_{\text{act,c}} \) and \( V_{\text{act,a}} \) are the
reversible potential, the ohmic overpotential, the cathode and anode activation overpotentials, respectively. These four parameters can be calculated by Equations (6-8).

\[ V_0 = 1.229 - 0.00085(T_{\text{PEM}} - 298) \]  
\[ V_{\text{ohm}} = J R_{\text{PEM}} \]  
\[ V_{\text{act},i} = \frac{RT}{F} \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{J}{2J_{0,i}}\right) i = a, c \]  

where \( T_{\text{PEM}} \) is the membrane temperature; \( R_{\text{PEM}} \) is the overall resistance of the system that is calculated through Equations (9-11); \( J_{0,i} \) is the exchange current density; and subscripts \( a \) and \( c \) show anode and cathode, respectively [4, 5].

\[ \sigma_{\text{PEM}}(\lambda(x)) = [0.5139\lambda(x) - 0.326] \exp[1268\left(\frac{1}{303} - \frac{1}{T}\right)] \]  
\[ \lambda(x) = \frac{\lambda_a - \lambda_c}{D} x + \lambda_c \]  
\[ R_{\text{PEM}} = \int_0^D dx \sigma_{\text{PEM}}(\lambda(x)) \]  

where \( \sigma_{\text{PEM}}, \lambda(x), D \), \( \lambda_a \), and \( \lambda_c \) are the local ionic conductivity, the water content at location \( x \) in the membrane, the membrane thickness, the water contents at the anode-membrane and the cathode-membrane interface, respectively.

The exchange current density is defined as [4, 5]:

\[ J_{0,i} = J_{\text{ref}}^{\text{ref}} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\text{act},i}}{RT}\right), i = a, c \]  

where \( J_{\text{ref}}^{\text{ref}} \) and \( E_{\text{act},i} \) are the pre-exponential factor and the activation energy for anode and cathode, respectively.

2. 2. Exergy Analysis The total exergy of streams can be obtained as follows [16]:

\[ \dot{E}_{\text{net}} = \dot{E}_{\text{ph}} + \dot{E}_{\text{ex}} \]  

where \( \dot{E}_{\text{ex}} \) is the chemical exergy and \( \dot{E}_{\text{ph}} \) is the physical exergy that is calculated by Equation (14).

\[ \dot{E}_{\text{ph}} = \dot{m}\left(h - h_0 - T_0(s - s_0)\right) \]  

The exergy destruction of component can be defined as follows [16]:

\[ \dot{E}_D = \dot{E}_F - \dot{E}_P \]  

where \( \dot{E}_F \) is the fuel exergy and \( \dot{E}_D \) is the product exergy.

2. 3. Performance of the Cycle The thermal and exergy efficiencies of the new proposed cycle are defined as follows:

\[ \eta_{\text{th}} = \frac{\dot{W}_{\text{net}} + \dot{m}_H LHV + \dot{Q}_{\text{heating}}}{\dot{m}_1(h_1 - h_0) + \dot{m}_o(h_0 - h_0)} \]  
\[ \eta_{\text{ex}} = \frac{\dot{W}_{\text{net}} + \dot{E}_{24} + \dot{E}_{\text{heating}}}{\dot{E}_1 + \dot{E}_o} \]  

where,

\[ \dot{W}_{\text{net}} = \sum\dot{W}_T - (\sum\dot{W}_p + E_{\text{electric}}) \]

2. 4. Assumptions

- Pressure drops and heat losses across the pipes and the heat exchangers are not considered.
- R141b is used as the ORC fluid and 50% of the ORC produced power is used in the PEM.
- The ambient pressure and temperature, pinch point difference, PEM temperature, isentropic efficiencies of the pumps and turbines, separators 1 and 2 pressures and evaporator temperature are assumed as 101.3 kPa, 15 °C, 10 °C, 80 °C, 90%, 85%, 900 kPa, 500 kPa and 110 °C, respectively.
- Thermodynamic characteristics of Sabalan GPP wells are given in Table 1 [13].
- The effective parameters in the PEM simulation are shown in Table 2 [4, 5].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Real data of Sabalan GPP wells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) (kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T ) (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \dot{m} ) (kg/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h ) (kJ/kg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2. Effective parameters in the PEM system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{\text{act}} ) (kJ/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{\text{act}} ) (kJ/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_c )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Method of Optimization  

The direct search method is used for optimization by EES from exergy viewpoint in three scenarios as:
- Scenario A: for the double flash/ORC cycle.
- Scenario B: for the double flash/ORC cycle with PEM.
- Scenario C: for the overall cogeneration system.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Validation  
The simulated J–V characteristics in the PEM system are compared with the experimental data of Ni, et al. [5] as shown in Figure 2 which shows good accordance with the experimental results. It is found that the V increases rapidly when J<200 A/m² and it increases steadily with J when J>200 A/m².

For the assumed condition, the net output power, hydrogen and oxygen production, heating, thermal and exergy efficiencies are calculated for the cycle as 17706 kW, 10.83 kg/h, 85.93 kg/h, 21207 kW, 33.59% and 64.16%, respectively.

3.2 Parametric Study  
The influence of the first separator pressure, P₂, on the performance of the cogeneration cycle is shown in Figure 3, where it’s observed that the net output power increases because HPT power generation increases. Also, since the value of hydrogen production and heating are almost constant, the amount of thermal and exergy efficiencies increase continuously with P₂.

Effect of second separator pressure, P₁₀, on the performance of the cogeneration cycle is illustrated in Figure 4. With increasing P₁₀, LPT and ORC power generation increase but HPT power generation decreases thus net output power has optimum value. As the \( \dot{E}_{\text{electric}} \) increases with ORC power, the hydrogen production increases as well. When ORC power generation increases, the value of \( m_i h_{i5} \) and \( m_o h_{o5} \) and consequently the amount of heating decreases. Thermal efficiency decreases with P₁₀ because the decrease of heating dominates \( m_h LHV \) and \( \dot{w}_{\text{net}} \). Also, the change of exergy of product, heating and hydrogen production leads the exergy efficiency to have an optimum value.

The effect of evaporator temperature, \( T_{EV} \), on the performance of the cycle is depicted in Figure 5. The ORC net power output has an optimum value with \( T_{EV} \), consequently \( \dot{E}_{\text{electric}} \) and hydrogen production follows a similar trend with \( \dot{w}_{\text{net}} \). When \( T_{EV} \) increases, \( m_i h_{i5} \) These trends of energy and exergy of products lead the thermal efficiency to increase while the exergy and \( m_o h_{o5} \) value and the value of heating increases.

Figure 6. With increasing \( \Delta T_{PP, EV} \), the ORCT power production and hydrogen production decrease while the efficiency has the maximum value. Variations of the
five performance parameters with $\Delta T_{rev}$ are shown in heating and thermal efficiencies increase and exergy efficiency decreases with the decrease of exergy production and $E_{24}$.

Figure 7 illustrates the variation of performance of the cogeneration cycle with PEM temperature, $T_{PEM}$, which indicates that the value of hydrogen production increases but heating decreases because of $m_{h_{in}}$ reduction with the $T_{PEM}$. Figure 7 also indicates that the decrease in heating leads to a slight reduction of exergy efficiency. Also, Figure 8 shows that, the greater amount of heating exergy and the produced power lead to the less hydrogen production (about 0.68%). In fact, because the amount of exergy rate for hydrogen production is low due to the very low hydrogen mass flow rate (10.83 kg/h or 0.003 kg/s) compared to the exergy associated with net power and heating production, it leads to small amount of exergy related to hydrogen production.

3. 3. Optimization Result

The results of optimization from the exergy point of view for three considered scenarios are outlined in Table 3. Scenario C from thermodynamic and exergic viewpoints has the best performance. In other words, adding PEM and DWH improves performance of new double flash/ORC for Sabalan GPP. Also, Table 3 shows that for the cogeneration Sabalan GPP, the heating, hydrogen production, net output power, thermal and exergy efficiencies are determined to be 15751 kW, 11.13 kg/h, 18346 kW, 29.48% and 65.23% at the optimum conditions, respectively.

4. CONCLUSIONS

For heating, power generation and hydrogen production, a new cogeneration cycle from the Sabalan geothermal wells with different pressures and temperatures was proposed and a parametric study as well as an optimization were carried out. Several significant conclusions from the thermodynamic analysis of this study were obtained for the proposed cycle, which include:

- Increasing the first separator pressure leads to increasing the net output power, thermal and exergy efficiencies while the heating and hydrogen production remain almost constant.
- The heating and thermal efficiency decrease with second separator pressure while the net output power and exergy efficiency have optimal values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Optimization results for various scenarios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision variables/Performance parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_i$ (kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{in}$ (kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{rev}$ (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T_{rev}$ (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{rea}$ (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{net}$ (kW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{h_{in}}$ (kg/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{h_{in}}$ (kW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{24}$ (kW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{th}$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{ex}$ (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Changes in the net output power, heating and hydrogen production with evaporator temperature lead to an optimal value for exergy efficiency almost in 110 °C.

The proposed cogeneration system has the best performance from exergy point of view compared to other two considered scenarios.

In optimization from the exergy viewpoint, heating, hydrogen production, net output power, thermal and exergy efficiencies are calculated to be 15751 kW, 11.13 kg/h, 18346 kW, 29.48% and 65.23%, respectively.
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چکیده

در این مقاله امکان استفاده از جریه ترکیبی برای تولید هیدروژن و هیدروژن از چاپرهای زمین

گرمایی سیلان مورد بررسی قرار گرفته است که جریه پیشنهادی از یک آرایش جدید باین دو محوری و چرخه

راکنی آنی به عنوان چردگی جریه پیدا می‌شود. از مبدل حرارتی غشای برونی برای تولید هیدروژن و انگریزک

داشته باشند. در حال جریه پیشنهادی به عنوان چرخه تولید و از مبدل حرارتی، اختلاف دما در

سالنیحاتش، اختلاف دما و نیز مبدل حرارتی مورد مطالعه قرار گرفته و سپس برای سخت

سازنده مختلط از جریه کریزی مورد بررسی قرار گرفته است. بنابراین نتایج حاصل در حال جریه

برای چرخه

تولید هیدروژن و هیدروژن، نمونه نشان داده شده است که

15751 کیلووات، 11.13 کیلو، 18346 کیلووات و 29.48% درصد بسته آمید