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**Abstract**

In this paper, implementation of an extended form of a no-slip wall boundary condition is presented for the three-dimensional (3-D) lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) for solving the incompressible fluid flows with complex geometries. The boundary condition is based on the off-lattice scheme with a polynomial interpolation which is used to reconstruct the curved or irregular wall boundary on the neighboring lattice nodes. This treatment improves the computational efficiency of the solution algorithm to handle complex geometries and provides much better accuracy comparing with the staircase approximation of bounce-back method. The efficiency and accuracy of the numerical approach presented are examined by computing the fluid flows around the geometries with curved or irregular walls. Three test cases considered herein for validating the present computations are the flow calculation around the NACA0012 wing section and through the two different porous media in various flow conditions. The study shows the present computational technique based on the implementation of the three-dimensional Lattice Boltzmann method with the employed curved wall boundary condition is robust and efficient for solving laminar flows with practical geometries and also accurate enough to predict the flow properties used for engineering designs.

**NOMENCLATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Greek Symbols</th>
<th>Greek Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_l$ Lift coefficient</td>
<td>$K$ Permeability tensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_d$ Drag coefficient</td>
<td>$L$ Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_s$ Lattice sound speed</td>
<td>$I$ Lagrangian polynomial coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$ Diameter</td>
<td>$N$ Number of lattice nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$ Distance</td>
<td>$p$ Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e$ Particle velocity vector</td>
<td>$Re$ Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$ Pre-collision distribution function</td>
<td>$u$ Flow velocity vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\overline{f}$ Post-collision distribution function</td>
<td>$x, y, z$ Coordinate directions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f^{eq}$ Equilibrium distribution function</td>
<td>$\Delta t$ Lattice time step</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1. INTRODUCTION**

In the past two decades, the lattice Boltzmann method (LBM), because of its mesoscopic and kinetic nature, has become a promising numerically robust technique for the simulation of fluid flows with the underlying microscopic physics. Simplicity of programming, efficiency for parallel computing and ease of considering microscopic interactions for modeling of additional physical phenomenon are the main advantages of the LBM as an attractive alternative computational technique to the traditional Navier-
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Stokes solvers. This method therefore has been successfully applied to a wide range of hydrodynamic problems even with complex physics [1-5].

The standard LBM is adopted to the uniform Cartesian grid for solving flow problems with straight wall boundaries. Thus, the standard LBM has a major restriction for implementation of curve boundary condition which greatly limits its application to simulate engineering practical problems with complex geometries. Various efforts have been put forward to improve the efficiency of the standard LBM for the complex boundary implementation along the curved boundaries. The immersed boundary method is a mostly used approach within the Navier-Stokes (N-S) equations to treat fluid flows involving complex wall boundary conditions. This convenient method is extended to the framework of LBM and employed to simulate flow problems with curved wall boundaries [6]. In the immersed boundary method, a local force computes the effect of the wall on the fluid which is added by a source term to the governing equation. The existing of the forcing term in the LBM with immersed boundary approach impacts the stability of the numerical solution and restricts to use small CFL numbers which causes high computational cost.

Another methodology performed to tackle the LBM for solving of complex geometry flows is employing the most common bounce-back method [7] which is widely used for applying the wall boundary condition. However, implementation of the classical bounce-back scheme for the curved walls suffers from a low resolution near the boundary, since the smooth wall is basically approximated by a staircase segments. This drawback leads the LBM to be a method with only first-order accuracy in space [8].

A family of boundary fitting methods has been proposed in the literature to improve accuracy of the bounce-back scheme for resolving the irregular wall boundary and implementation of the LBM for simulation of such flow problems with appropriate precision. In the boundary fitting methods, the lattice nodes inside the fluid domain are considered as ‘fluid nodes’ and nodes outside of the fluid domain are so-called ‘solid nodes’. Then, to implement no-slip boundary condition on the curved wall, an inter- or extrapolation approach is used at the neighboring solid nodes to define the unknown distribution of the particles at the boundary nodes by using the known populations coming from fluid nodes. With this aspect, Verberg and Ladd [9] have developed a sub-grid model for LBM with considering the volume fraction associated with each fluid nodes near the wall boundary. A grid-refinement scheme is proposed by Filippova and Hanel [10] and then the inter- and extrapolation schemes have effectively developed by Mei et al. [11] and Guo et al. [12]. Latt et al. [13] have proposed a very general formalism which can use both of interpolation and extrapolation of the velocity at the boundary nodes. Verschaeye and Muller [14] have extended the Latt et al.’s approach to curved boundary conditions which is verified for two-dimensional LBM and a thorough verification of the three-dimensional case is still necessary. Note that using an appropriate interpolation or extrapolation scheme for implementation of the curved boundary conditions allows to preserve spatial second-order of accuracy of the LBM near the boundaries [12, 14].

In the present work, the approach proposed by Verschaeye and Muller is extended and applied for three-dimensional LBM to solve practical flow problems with complex geometries. Herein, the standard D3Q19 single relaxation time lattice Boltzmann method (SRT-LBM) is implemented with employing the extended off-lattice wall boundary condition for simulation of laminar fluid flows. The computational efficiency and accuracy of the curved wall boundary condition employed is investigated in comparison with the bounce-back treatment. The robustness and accuracy of the SRT-LBM applied are examined by solving incompressible fluid flow around a NACA0012 wing section and through the two different porous media geometries at various flow conditions.

The rest of the present paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the D3Q19 SRT-lattice Boltzmann method is presented. In Section 3, the implementation of the curved wall boundary conditions with an interpolated off-lattice scheme is given. The numerical results obtained for the three flow problems are presented and discussed in Section 4 to examine the performance of the solution methodology. Finally, the some conclusions are made in Section 5.

2. GOVERNING EQUATION

The single relaxation time LB equation used with the collision term in the Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) approximation can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla f = \frac{1}{\tau} (f - f^{eq})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where, $f(t,c,x)$ is the particle (mass) distribution function, $\tau$ is the relaxation time parameter and $\mathbf{u}$ denotes the macroscopic velocity of particles. $f^{eq}$ defines the equilibrium distribution function through a Chapman-Enskog expansion procedure and can be expressed as:

$$f^{eq} = \rho \omega \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{c^2}{c^2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{c^2}{c^2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where, $\mathbf{u} = (u,v,w)$ and $\omega$ are the macroscopic velocity vector and the weight coefficient, respectively.
A three-dimensional cubic lattice model with nineteen particle velocity directions (D3Q19) is employed to discretize Equation (1) in the lattice configuration as:

$$\frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + e_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla f_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{\tau} (f_{\alpha} - f_{\alpha}^{eq}), \quad \alpha = 0, 1, \ldots, 18$$

(3)

where, $\alpha$ denotes the possible direction of the particle velocity. Figure 1 shows the D3Q19 discrete Boltzmann model employed with the discrete velocity $e_{\alpha}$. In the D3Q19 LBM, the weight factors $\omega_{\alpha}$ and particle velocity $e_{\alpha}$ are given as:

$$\omega_{b} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \omega_{11} = \frac{1}{18}, \quad \omega_{7} = \frac{1}{36}$$

(4)

$$e_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (0,0,0) & \alpha = 0 \\ (\pm 1,0,0), (0,\pm 1,0), (0,0,\pm 1) & \alpha = 1 - 6 \\ (\pm 1,\pm 1,0), (\pm 1,0,\pm 1), (0,\pm 1,\pm 1) & \alpha = 7 - 18 \end{cases}$$

(5)

where, $c = \Delta t / \Delta t$ is the lattice speed. $\Delta t$ and $\Delta t$ are the grid spacing and the time step size, respectively, which are assumed to be unity.

The macroscopic density $\rho$ and velocity $u$ are defined based on the particle distribution function as:

$$\rho = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}, \quad \rho u = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$$

(6)

and the pressure is expressed by the state formula $p = \rho c_{s}^2$, where $c_{s} = c / \sqrt{3}$ is the sound speed. The kinematic viscosity $\nu$ depends on the speed of sound and relaxation time by the following definition:

$$\nu = c_{s}^2 (\tau - 0.5)$$

(7)

The lattice Boltzmann equation discretized in Equation (3) is usually solved by a streaming-collision approach in two steps. First, the particles collide on the lattice nodes, known as ‘collision step’:

$$\overline{f}_{\alpha}(t,x) = f_{\alpha}(t,x) - \frac{1}{\tau} [f_{\alpha}(t,x) - f_{\alpha}^{eq}(t,x)]$$

(8)

Second, propagation of the particle distributions occurs according to their respective speed, known as ‘streaming step’:

$$f_{\alpha}(t + \Delta t, x + e_{\alpha}) = \overline{f}_{\alpha}(t,x)$$

(9)

where, $f_{\alpha}(t,x)$ and $\overline{f}_{\alpha}(t,x)$ denote the pre- and post-collision states of the distribution function, respectively.

3. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

In this section, the implementation of curved wall boundary condition and inlet/outlet open boundary conditions are described for the lattice Boltzmann method employed.

3.1 Curved Wall Boundary Condition

For implementation of general wall boundary conditions, the lattice nodes neighboring the curved wall is grouped into ‘fluid nodes’ inside the flow domain ($F$), ‘boundary nodes’ near the wall ($B$) and ‘solid nodes’ outside of the flow domain ($S$), which are shown in Figure 2 by the black circle, gray circle and square symbols, respectively. In this figure, the populations of $F$, $B$ and $S$ for the boundary node $N$ are

$$F = \{6\}, \quad B = \{0,2,3,5,7\}, \quad S = \{1,4,8\}$$

(10)

After streaming step, the populations streamed from nodes outside of the flow domain are unknown, e.g. for boundary node $N$ they would be $\{2,3,6\}$. Herein, the unknown population on the boundary node $N$ are considered as the opposite of solid node indices $S^{\text{opposite}} = \{2,3,6\}$.

The macroscopic parameters $\rho$ and $u$ are known after streaming on the fluid nodes and the no-slip boundary condition is imposed on the wall. On the boundary nodes however, the macroscopic flow properties are unknown because of unknown populations streamed in from the outside of flow domain. Because of the boundary node $N$ is placed between the fluid nodes and the wall, the macroscopic quantities can be computed on the boundary nodes by an interpolation scheme.

**Figure 1.** The cubic D3Q19 lattice model and the microscopic velocities

**Figure 2.** Close view of the boundary node $N$ and its neighbors
As shown in Figure 3, the velocity \( u_N \) on the boundary node N of interest can be computed by interpolating the velocity between the fluid nodes \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) and the wall boundary condition at \( I_w \). Herein, a quadratic Lagrangian interpolation scheme is employed as the following relation

\[
u_N = u_w I_w + u_1 I_1 + u_2 I_2
\]

(11)

where, \( u_w \), \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) are the macroscopic velocity vectors at the points \( I_w \), \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \), respectively. The coefficients of Lagrangian interpolation polynomial \( l_w \), \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) are calculated as

\[
l_u = \frac{(d_N - d_1)(d_N - d_2)}{d_1 d_2}
\]

(12)

\[
l_1 = \frac{d_2 (d_N - d_2)}{d_1 (d_2 - d_1)}
\]

(13)

\[
l_2 = \frac{d_N (d_N - d_1)}{d_1 (d_1 - d_2)}
\]

(14)

where, \( d \) is the distance of the relevant node from the wall point \( I_w \).

A local approximation algorithm is implemented to compute the density on the boundary node N as follows [14]:

\[
\rho_N = \sum_{a \in K} \frac{\rho_a}{g_{a}^{pre-collide}}
\]

(15)

where, \( g_{a}^{pre-collide} = g_{a}^{eq} + g_{a}^{cpq} \) and \( K \) indicates the known populations on the boundary node N.

3.2. Inlet/Outlet Boundary Conditions Each of inlet and outlet boundary conditions can be implemented with Dirichlet- or Neumann-type depending on the flow problem in question.

In the present work, the inlet and outlet boundary conditions for the porous media test cases are implemented using a Dirichlet-type to impose a constant pressure gradient along the geometry. The density is calculated by the state equation mentioned and the tangential velocity components are considered zero on the boundary nodes.

For simulation of flow around the NACA0012 wing section, all velocity components are imposed in the inlet (Dirichlet-type) and density is extrapolated from the interior domain. For the outflow, Neumann-type boundary condition is employed for the velocity to impose zero-gradient for this variable at the outlet boundary. A constant density is also considered by using the equation of state mentioned for a given pressure value in the outflow.

Finally, inlet/outlet boundary conditions should be defined for the distribution function \( f_a \) based on the known macroscopic variables on each boundary. Herein, the method proposed by Zou and He [15] is employed to evaluate the distribution function on the inlet and outlet boundaries.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The accuracy and performance of the LBM implemented are demonstrated by solving two flow problems with practical and complex geometries. Herein, the fluid flow around a NACA0012 wing section and flow through the two different porous media are considered at various flow conditions. The results obtained are compared with available data reported in the literature.

4.1. Flow around the NACA0012 Wing Section

The aerodynamic surfaces (wing/blades) operate at low Reynolds numbers in a wide range of aerial applications, e.g. aircrafts in take-off/landing situations, high-altitude long-endurance unmanned vehicles, micro-aerial vehicles and wind turbines. Flow treatment around the aerodynamic surfaces at low Reynolds numbers is different than high-Reynolds number condition due to strong adverse pressure gradients, laminar separation and reattachment of shear layers occur in downstream. These phenomena can impact aerodynamic efficiency of an aerial vehicle and have therefore led to different numerical and experimental studies for prediction of such low Reynolds number flow structures for improving practical designs.

The implemented LBM is used to simulate incompressible laminar flow around the NACA0012 wing section at \( Re = u_{ref} / \nu = 800 \) and \( \alpha = 20^\circ \) to examine the accuracy and efficiency of the present solution algorithm with comparing the results obtained with those of reported in the literature. Figure 4 shows the...
A cuboid flowfield with square cross section consists of the NACA0012 wing geometry. The spanwise and streamwise lengths of the domain are set to 40c and 10c, where c is the chord length of the NACA0012 airfoil. The wing is placed in distance of 5c from inflow boundary and located at the middle of cross section. Flow domain is also discretized by (1000×250×250) grid nodes.

In Figure 5, the computed flowfield around the NACA0012 wing section is shown at middle plane in the spanwise direction of the wing by streamlines colored based on the velocity magnitude. Large separation bubbles due to relatively strong adverse pressure gradient on the suction side of the wing are obvious in this figure. The flow structure resolved indicates development of the von-Karman vortices in the separated shear layer over the upper surface and shedding to the downstream. Figure 6 indicates a snapshot of the vertical vorticity magnitude iso-surface around the NACA0012 wing section. As can be seen in this figure, the laminar shear layer detaches in the von-Karman flow pattern lead to formation of curved vortex tubes in the spanwise direction with irregular shapes. In wing downwash, the vortices roll-up, interact together and create more complex three-dimensional structures.

The time averaged lift and drag coefficients computed using the present solution procedure for NACA0012 wing section at Re = 800 and α = 20° are compared with those of reported by Hoarau et al. [16] in Table 1. This comparison shows that the results obtained by the LBM implemented and those of obtained by Hoarau et al. are almost in good agreement and the small difference observed may be due to different numerical algorithms with different accuracies or different grid sizes/distribution applied. This study indicates that the LBM implemented with an appropriate curve wall boundary condition is efficient for simulation of laminar flow around the wing section used.

To demonstrate the efficiency and accuracy of the present boundary condition methodology for curved walls in comparison with the bounce-back treatment, the flow around the NACA0012 wing section is simulated by using three different grid sizes, namely, (400×100×100), (600×150×150) and (800×200×200) to obtain the order of accuracy with employing these two boundary condition methods. The error is defined as the lift coefficient $C_l$ difference for the three different meshes compared with the result of the most refined one, the mesh (1000×250×250). The comparison results are given in Table 2. The results obtained show that the second order of accuracy of the solution is confirmed by employing the present boundary condition for this test case, while the accuracy of the solution obtained by implementing the bounce-back treatment is approximated 1.62.

**TABLE 1.** Comparison of the lift and drag coefficients for the NACA0012 wing section at Re = 800 and α = 20°

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Hoarau et al. (DNS)</th>
<th>Present Solution (LBM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_l$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_d$</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The error of the lift coefficient calculated based on the present boundary condition method for the flow around the NACA0012 wing section is also less than those of obtained based on the bounce-back treatment for the all grid sizes used in this study. It should be noted that the computational cost of the numerical solution with the both investigated boundary condition treatments is almost the same. This assessment indicates that the present solution methodology is satisfactorily accurate for simulation of the fluid flow around the practical geometries with curved wall boundary condition.

4. 2. Flow through the Porous Media

Understanding the fluid flow treatment in a porous medium is important for studying wide variety of applications in nature and industry. Transport phenomena of water in living plants and through the soil, oil recovery process, filtration and flow control in aerial vehicles are some of examples deal with the fluid flow in pore scales that show the crucial importance of studying such flow problems.

The pressure difference is responsible to drive the flow through porous medium by the viscous and inertial forces until a steady state condition reached. In the low pressure gradients, the viscous forces are dominant and the averaged flow velocity \( \bar{u} \) can be determined by the Darcy’s law with the following linear equation:

\[
\bar{u} = \frac{K}{\mu} v_p
\]

where, \( K \) is the permeability tensor. The medium permeability \( K \) is a property depending on the size, distribution and connectivity of the pore spaces with constant values in each direction. Determination of this tensor for a particular porous medium needs numerous experiments, however easy to obtain by the computational techniques. For an imposed pressure gradient, the volume averaged velocity \( \bar{u} \) can be calculated by the flow simulation through the medium and the permeability constant then would be obtained from Equation (16) if the flow be in Darcy regime. However, for high pressure gradients, the inertial forces between the fluid and porous surfaces dominate.

### TABLE 2. Comparison of order of accuracy of the solution by employing two different wall boundary condition methods for simulation of flow around the NACA0012 wing section at \( \Re = 800 \) and \( \alpha = 20^\circ \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>( \log(\Delta x) )</th>
<th>Bounce-Back Boundary Condition</th>
<th>Present Boundary Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \log(\text{Error}(G)) )</td>
<td>( \log(\text{Error}(G)) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(400x100x100)</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(600x150x150)</td>
<td>-2.17</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(800x200x200)</td>
<td>-2.30</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order of accuracy:</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In such conditions, the Darcy’s law is not valid anymore (non-Darcy regime) and the computational methods cannot be used for calculating the permeability tensor with the procedure mentioned. Therefore, properly understanding of the flow regimes is necessary in many applications to determine where the Darcy- and non-Darcy regimes occur and how much the critical pressure gradient is for a particular porous medium to flow switches from Darcy to non-Darcy regime.

The capability of LBM for simulation of fluid flow through the complex geometries suit this technique very well for modeling flow through the porous media [17-21]. In this section, the accuracy and performance of the present solution methodology implemented based on the LBM is demonstrated for simulation of fluid flow through the porous environment. Herein, two porous geometries are considered for flow computations to carry out the capability and robustness of the LBM presented for predicting those permeability properties. The critical pressure gradient in which the flow changes from Darcy to non-Darcy regime and the corresponding Reynolds number are also computed.

4. 2. 1. Porous Media with Regular Distribution of Spheres

Figure 7 shows a slice extracted from a flow domain consists of a sphere in a body centered cubic. Mirrors of the slice in the \( x, y \) and \( z \) directions make a porous media with uniform distribution of spheres centered cubic. Due to similarity of the geometry in all directions, the slice extracted can be chosen as the flow domain to reduce the computational cost. Physical diameter of the sphere and the length of the cube are \( D_s = 100 \mu m \) and \( L = 140 \mu m \), respectively.

The present computations are performed with a \( (N_x, N_y, N_z) = (101, 101, 101) \) grid size for a wide variety of pressure gradients, from \( \Delta p = 10^{-6} \) to \( 1.5 \times 10^{-1} \) in lattice unit, which are imposed in \( \frac{\Delta p}{N_{D_s}} \) in the \( x \)-direction. To calculate the medium permeability in different flow conditions, the variables of Darcy’s law in Equation (16) is converted to lattice units. Thus, the permeability tensor \( K \) can be defined in lattice unit as follows:

\[
K_{ij} = \frac{(\Delta x)^2 v_{ij}}{(\Delta p/N_{D_s})}, \quad i, j = x, y, z
\]

where, \( N_{D_s} \) denotes the number of lattice nodes discretized the length of sphere diameter in direction defined by the subscript \( j \). Since the flow domain and porous geometry are periodic in the all directions for this test case, the \( N_{D_s} \) value is constant, equals to \( N_{D_s} = 72 \) lattice nodes. Also, the diagonal components of the permeability obtained from the numerical computations will be the same due to the similarity of geometry in all directions.
The results obtained for permeability by employing the present LBM is shown in Figure 8 for different pressure gradients applied in the x direction. The horizontal axis of this figure defined by the Reynolds numbers calculated based on the average velocity in porous medium, after the steady state is reached for the attendant pressure gradient. The Reynolds number value is varied from $4 \times 10^3$ to $35 \times 10^3$ used in present study. As can be seen in Figure 8, the medium permeability is constant $K_{xx} = 21.2$ for low Reynolds numbers up to $Re \approx 3.0$, beyond which it decreases as Reynolds number increases. It means that the Darcy’s law is valid for the flow conditions up to $Re \approx 3.0$, where the flow regime transition to the non-Darcy flow is occurred at the pressure gradient $\Delta p \approx 10^{-2}$.

For lower $\Delta p$, the pressure gradient has a linear relation with the averaged velocity in porous media. As a result, the permeability tensor obtained from the LBM implemented for the porous media with uniform distribution of spheres centered cubic is

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 21.2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 21.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 21.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

For verification of the accuracy of the permeability value computed by the present LBM, the result obtained is compared with that of the empirical relation proposed by Ergun [22]:

$$K_{\text{empirical}} = \frac{\phi^3 D_s^2}{A_p (1 - \phi)^2}$$

where, $\phi = \frac{\text{Pore Space Volume}}{\text{Bulk Volume}}$ is porosity and $A_p$ is a geometric factor which is usually set to 175–180. The permeability computed by Equation (18) for the porous media with uniform distribution of spheres is equal to $K_{\text{empirical}} = 22.7$ which shows good agreement between the empirical estimation and the computed results by the LBM implemented. Note that the porosity of the medium shown in Figure 7 is calculated after discretization of the flow domain with (101) lattice nodes and it is equal to $\phi = 54.58\%$. The permeability value computed can be converted from lattice unit to the physical unit by the scale conversion factor as follows

$$K_{\text{physical}} = K_{\text{LBM}} \left( \frac{L}{N_x} \right) = 4.08 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2$$

In Figure 9, the computed flow fields are depicted by the streamlines colored based on the velocity magnitude for $\Delta p = 10^{-5}$ and $1.5 \times 10^{-1}$.
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This figure indicates that by increasing the pressure gradient imposed, the averaged flow velocity magnitude and consequently the Reynolds number increased. In such flow condition through the porous media, notably inertial effects are responsible for the additional pressure drop, known as the non-Darcy flow regime. This investigation shows that the lattice Boltzmann method employed is appropriate for accurate simulation of fluid flow through the porous media.

4.2.2. Porous Media with Irregular Distribution of Merged Spheres

To demonstrate the accuracy and robustness of the LBM implemented for numerical solution of the flow problems with more complex geometries, the flow through a porous medium with irregular geometry is performed. The porous media shown in Figure 10, consists of a tight distribution of spheres in a cuboid pack that some of them merged together. The dimension of the cuboid are $(L_x,L_y,L_z) = (640,720,630)$ $\mu m$, in which discretized by $(N_x,N_y,N_z) = (301,338,296)$ lattice nodes. According to the numerical computations performed for the previous test case, the pressure gradient is set $\Delta p = 10^{-5}$ for the present porous media to be sure about Darcy flow regime through the medium.

Figure 11 indicates the flowfield simulated by the present solution algorithm with the streamlines colored based on the velocity magnitude. For this test case, due to the microscopic pore size and complexity of the geometry, the fluid flow path is very tortuous and twisted. In addition, the complex nature of the solid-pore interface impacts the pressure distribution through the porous media. Thus, it is necessary to have pressure drop estimation along the porous media in many applications. It helps to study the productivity of the medium used and replace/design an appropriate geometry if needed. Herein, the computed pressure distribution field is shown at slice $N_z = 100$ through the porous media in Figure 12. A significant pressure drop along the porous media is obvious in this figure.

![Figure 10. Irregular sphere pack porous media with a tight distribution of merged spheres](image1)

![Figure 11. Computed flowfield for the porous media with irregular distribution of spheres shown by streamlines and velocity magnitude with $\Delta p = 10^{-5}$ applied in $x$ direction](image2)

![Figure 12. Computed flowfield of the porous media with irregular distribution of spheres with $\Delta p = 10^{-5}$ shown by streamlines and pressure distribution in the $xy$ plane at $N_z = 100$](image3)

To compute the permeability tensor for the porous media shown in Figure 10 by employing the LBM implemented, the pressure gradient $\Delta p = 10^{-5}$ is imposed in three directions $x$, $y$ and $z$. The results obtained for the permeability of the porous media with irregular distribution of merged spheres is presented in Table 3. The physical permeability tensor is again calculated according to Equation (19). This study shows the capability of the present numerical solution technique based on the LBM for simulation of the flow problems with irregular and complex geometries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>$K^{LBM}$</th>
<th>$K^{Physical}$ ($m^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>2.075</td>
<td>9.41x10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y$</td>
<td>2.482</td>
<td>1.13x10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>6.14x10^{-12}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3.** Permeability properties of the irregular sphere pack porous media
5. CONCLUSION

In this work, a 3-D lattice Boltzmann method is implemented for numerical simulation of flow problems with complex geometries. An off-lattice type wall boundary condition with appropriate Lagrangian polynomial interpolation is used to resolve the flow properties near the curved or irregular wall boundaries. It is shown that such a procedure can accurately resolve the flow field near the curved wall boundaries compared to the bounce-back treatment. The efficiency and accuracy of the numerical approach presented are examined by computing the incompressible laminar flow around the NACA0012 wing section and flow through the two different porous media with regular and irregular geometries. The calculations are performed for different laminar flow conditions and the results are compared with available data reported in the literature for the test cases considered. Validation results show good comparison for flow properties between LBM simulation and the empirical estimation and numerical results. The present study shows the computational technique based on the implementation of the three-dimensional Lattice Boltzmann method with the employed curved wall boundary condition. It is robust and efficient for solving flow problems with realistic and practical geometries and accurate enough to predict the flow properties used for engineering designs.
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In this paper, a new type of wall boundary condition is implemented for three-dimensional Lattice Boltzmann Method (LBM) that improves its capabilities and accuracy in simulating complex geometries. The developed algorithm determines the position of the curved wall and calculates its position on the boundary grid. This algorithm improves the accuracy of the numerical solution and increases its accuracy compared to the bounce-back method, which is commonly used for solving flows in complex geometries. The developed algorithm is validated based on the LBM three-dimensional solution of flows around an airfoil NACA0012 and through two isolated flows in different conditions. The results are validated and compared with available data. The results show that the developed algorithm is suitable and effective for engineering design problems, especially for flows in complex and practical geometries.