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Abstract

In this paper, the effectiveness of stiffness and damping of bearing is investigated on the natural frequencies of the rotor-bearing system. The rotor-bearing system consists of a shaft, two bearings and a disk between two bearings. Parallel spring-damper in horizontal and vertical directions is considered for modeling the stiffness and damping of bearings. The gyroscopic effect is also considered in derivation of equations, together with its dependence on speed. Numerical results contain the critical speed of shaft for various values of bearing stiffness and damping. Moreover, the first six natural frequencies of rotor are presented in Campbell diagrams.

1. Introduction

Rotor dynamics is the branch of engineering that studies the lateral and torsional vibrations of rotating shafts, with the objective of predicting the rotor vibrations and containing the vibration level under an acceptable limit [1]. Rotating machines are used for power transmission in modern engineering industries. Many industrial applications of rotors are generator, pump, compressor, gas turbine rotors installed in power plants and vehicle turbochargers. The dynamic behavior of rotors is changed by the mass unbalance and the support motions of rotor-bearing systems. In what follows, a review of the related works is presented.

Zorzi and Nelson investigated the instability of rotor-bearing system with internal and hysteric damping [2]. Lin and Lin studied the optimal weight design of rotor system [3]. Kang et al. studied the foundation effect on the dynamic characteristics of rotor-bearing systems [4]. Wu investigated the lateral vibration characteristics of the full-size rotor-bearing system using the scale rotor-bearing model [5]. Enemark and Santos applied the thermo-mechanical shape memory alloy springs in the rotor and bearing system [6]. Numerical results indicated that the vibration reductions up to around 50 percent can be achieved using the shape memory alloy springs (SMAs) instead of steel. Halminen et al. studied the dynamics of an active magnetic bearing-supported rotor during contact with a simulation model [7]. A new method combining the rational polynomials method (RPM) with the weighted instrumental variables (WIV) estimator was used to fit the directional frequency response function by Wang et al. [8]. In that work, the damping ratio of the first forward and backward modes were identified with high accuracy. Hao et al. [9] established a numerical model of the bending stiffness of the tapered roller bearing through mechanics and deformation analysis on the bearing-rotor system. Modal analysis on an air blower rotor system was carried out using transfer matrix method. Zhou et al. [10] presented the influence of stiffness and damping coefficients of the active magnetic bearings on the dynamic behavior of a rotor-bearing system. Jiang et al. [11] identified the equivalent stiffness and damping of AMB-rotor with multi-frequency excitation. The obtained results were in good agreement with the experimental results. Xu et al. [12] obtained the AMBs stiffness and damping coefficients for a rotor. The rotor was modeled as...
Timoshenko beam and identification procedure based on the FE model. Xiang et al. [13] studied the dynamic behavior of a gear-bearing system with time varying stiffness. They reported that when the support stiffness was increased a diversity range of periodic and chaotic behaviors appeared. Wang et al. [14] defined the unbalance response of a rotor-bearing system as a function of position and the stiffness and damping coefficients of bearings. They proposed an analytical method to identify the rotor unbalance while operating. Modares Ahmadi et al. [15] investigated the dynamic response of a system of a flexible rotor and two ball bearings with squeeze film dampers and centralizing springs. Wang et al. [16] discussed the effects of axial preload, rotor eccentricity and inner/outer waviness amplitudes on the dynamic response of the rotor-bearing system.

In this paper, the vibration of the rolling bearing-rotor system is investigated. The supporting rolling element bearing is simplified as a particle on a shaft with parallel stiffness and damping elements in two directions (see Figure 1 (b)). Modal analysis of bearing-rotor system is carried out using the finite element method. The first six natural frequencies and critical speed are obtained for various values of bearing stiffness and damping.

2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

Figure 1 presents the scheme of a rotor. As shown in Figure 1(a), the components of rotor consist of a rotating shaft, two bearings \( b_1, b_2 \) and a disk with mass \( m \) and moment inertia \( J \) which is located at distance \( l \) from \( b_1 \). As shown in Figure 1(a), the length of the undeformed shaft center line is \( l \). A part of rotor's length (a in Figure 1(a)) is free for coupling to another shaft. Basic assumptions are: 1) the disk is rigid, 2) the shaft is deformable and modeled by Euler-Bernoulli beam of constant cross-section solicited in bending along two orthogonal directions, 3) the shaft and rigid disk are symmetric, 4) the bearings supporting the shaft are flexible and modeled as the spring-damper model as shown in Figure 1(b), 5) the spring-damper model of bearings are applied in x-y plane in x, y directions with spring coefficients \( k_x, k_y \) and damper coefficients \( c_x, c_y \) and 6) the rotor rotates at a constant speed \( \Omega \) in z direction.

The equations of motion for the rigid disk, bearings and flexible shaft are derived by the use of Lagrange’s theorem:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = F_i
\]

where, in Equation (1), \( L = T - U \) is the Lagrangian, \( T \) is the kinetic energy, \( U \) is the potential energy, \( q_i \) is the generalized coordinates describing the motion of the system and \( F_i \) is the generalized forces acting on the system. The symbol \( \dot{\cdot} \) refers to differentiation with respect to time. Generally, the equations of motion for the rotor system can be written as:

\[
[M]\ddot{U} + [C][\dot{U}] + [K]U = 0
\]

The system matrices of the equation of motion in Equation (2) are the global mass matrix \([M]\), the global damping matrix \([C]\) and the global stiffness matrix \([K]\). Moreover, \([U]\) is the global generalized displacement vector in x and y directions.

2.1. Finite Element Analysis

Modeling of the rotor-bearing system is performed by finite element method. Three elements are used for rotor-bearing problem. The elements are: BEAM188 element for the shaft, MASS 21 element for disk and COMBI 214 element for bearings.
The theory of BEAM188 element is based on the Timoshenko beam and the effect of shear deformation is evaluated in deflection of the element. The BEAM188 element consists of 6 degree of freedom. The MASS 21 element consists of 6 degree of freedom and has the different values of inertia in different directions. The COMBI 214 element contains of two node and each node has two degree of freedom. This element couples the stiffness and damping in two directions (x and y direction).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the effectiveness of parameters of rotor system is investigated in Campbell diagrams. The parameters are considered as: stiffness and damping of bearings (k_x, k_y, c_x, c_y), the location of symmetric disk (l_z), and the parameter a in Figure 1(a). Numerical results are divided into two cases: the effectiveness of stiffness and damping of bearing. The material characteristics and geometric properties of the rotor are listed in Table 1.

**TABLE 1. Main characteristics of the on-board rotor**

| Density of shaft material | ρ_o=7800 kg/m³ | Young’s modulus of the shaft | E=200×10⁶ N/m² |
| Radius of the shaft | r_shaft=10 mm | Mass of the disk | 4 kg |
| Length of the shaft | l=200 mm | Moment of inertia of disk | J=1.51×10⁻¹ kg.m² |
| Location of disk | l_z=100 mm |

The following non-dimensional parameters are defined in numerical results:

\[
\bar{K}_x = \frac{K_x L^3}{EI}, \quad \bar{K}_y = \frac{K_y L^3}{EI}, \quad \bar{\zeta}_x = \frac{\zeta_x}{2K_R m}, \quad \bar{\zeta}_y = \frac{\zeta_y}{2K_R m}
\]

\[
\bar{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma_i}{\Omega_b}, \quad \bar{\Omega} = \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_b}, \quad \bar{\Omega}_b = \sqrt{\frac{EI}{mL^2}}, \quad (3)
\]

where, in Equation (3), \(\bar{K}_x, \bar{K}_y\) are the non-dimensional stiffness of bearing in x and y directions, respectively, \(\bar{\zeta}_x, \bar{\zeta}_y\) are the non-dimensional damping of bearing in x and y directions, respectively, \(\bar{\Omega}\) is the non-dimensional angular velocity of shaft and \(\bar{\sigma}_i\) is the i-th non-dimensional natural frequency of shaft. Moreover, \(m\) is the mass of shaft and \(I\) is the second moment of area of shaft. In all figures, the angular velocity of shaft (\(\Omega\)) is considered from 0 to 20000.

3.1. The Effectiveness of Bearing Stiffness

Figure 2 presents the non-dimensional natural frequency (\(\sigma_i/\Omega_b\)) versus the non-dimensional angular velocity of rotor (\(\Omega/\Omega_b\)) for three values of non-dimensional bearing stiffness. In order to verify and confirm the numerical results, the forward and backward natural frequencies are depicted for three values of bearing stiffness. The results are compared with the results presented in the literature [1] for a rotor with simply supported ends. As shown in Figure 2, when the bearing stiffness \(\bar{K}_x\) is increased from 0.1 to 1000, the natural frequencies are in good agreement with the simply supported rotor-shaft system.

Figure 3 shows the six natural frequencies of rotor-bearing system which are dependent on the shaft speed. In this figure, the non-dimensional natural frequencies are plotted versus the non-dimensional angular velocity of rotor. Figures 3(a)-3(f) show the six natural frequencies versus the angular velocity of rotor for \(\zeta_x=\zeta_y=0\) and \(\bar{K}_x/\bar{K}_y=1\). As shown in parts (a)-(f), as the value of \(\Omega/\Omega_b\) increases, natural frequency is split into two frequencies (forward and backward) on account of the gyroscopic effect and the forward natural frequency increases and the backward natural frequency decreases. Also, for \(\Omega/\Omega_b=0\), the forward and backward frequencies are the same. As the value of \(\bar{K}_x\) is increased from 0.1 in Figure 3(a) to 1000 in Figure 3(f), the natural frequencies increase. Moreover, in parts (a)-(f), the first and second natural frequencies (\(\sigma_1,\sigma_2\)) are coincide in whole considered interval of \(\Omega/\Omega_b\). The gyroscopic effect is not appeared in the first and second natural frequencies. As shown in Figures 3(a)-3(f), for larger values of bearing stiffness, the third, fourth, fifth and sixth natural frequencies are more far away. In the other words, for a rotor-bearing system, when the damping of bearings is neglected and the stiffness of bearings is symmetric and increases, the forward natural frequencies increase and the backward natural frequencies decrease.

**Figure 2.** The non-dimensional natural frequency versus the non-dimensional angular velocity of rotor for three values of non-dimensional bearing stiffness \(\bar{K}_x=0.1, 10, 1000\) \(\zeta_x=\zeta_y=0\), \(\bar{K}_x/\bar{K}_y=1\)
The values of intersection ( $\bar{\Omega}_i (i = 1, \ldots, 6)$ ) are presented in Table 2 for $i$th natural frequency. The dashed lines in Table 2 and all tables are the values of $\Omega \geq 20000$ (rpm).

As seen in Table 2, the values of $\bar{\Omega}_1, \bar{\Omega}_2$ are close together, because the first and second natural frequencies are almost coincide with each other.

Figure 4 presents the non-dimensional natural frequency ($\omega/\Omega_0$) versus the non-dimensional bearing stiffness ($\bar{K}_x$) for $\Omega/\Omega_0 = 0$. As shown in Figure 4, when the gyroscope effect is ignored, by increasing the non-dimensional bearing stiffness the forward and backward frequencies are coincide together. For $\bar{K}_x > 1000$, the frequencies are almost unchanged and the frequencies are the values of rotor system with simply supported ends. Figure 4 shows that for a rotor-bearing system with symmetric bearing stiffness and without bearing damping, the forward and backward natural frequencies are same for any values of bearing stiffness at the shaft speed equal to zero.

Figure 5 presents the Campbell diagrams for various values of $\bar{K}_x/\bar{K}_x, \bar{K}_x = 15$ and $\zeta_x = \zeta_y = 0$. Natural frequencies are plotted versus the angular velocity of shaft. Figures 5(a)-(e) are shown for $0.1 \leq \bar{K}_x/\bar{K}_x \leq 2$. As shown in parts (a)-(e), when the $\Omega/\Omega_0$ increases the forward natural frequency increases and the backward natural frequency decreases. Figures 5(f) and 5(g) are for $\bar{K}_x/\bar{K}_x = 10, 100$, respectively. As shown in parts (f) and (g), by increasing the $\Omega/\Omega_0$, the fifth mode increases and the fourth mode decreases. The intersection of line 1X with the natural frequencies is tabulated for different values of $\bar{K}_x/\bar{K}_x$ in parts (a)-(g) of Figure 5.

Moreover, by increasing the value of $\bar{K}_x$, the intersection of line 1X with the natural frequencies occurs at larger values of $\Omega/\Omega_0$.

**Table 2.** The intersection values of line 1X with non-dimensional natural frequencies for $\zeta_x = \zeta_y = 0, \bar{K}_x/\bar{K}_x = 1$. (Non-dimensional angular velocity)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\bar{K}_x$</th>
<th>$\bar{K}_x = 0.1$</th>
<th>$\bar{K}_x = 1$</th>
<th>$\bar{K}_x = 10$</th>
<th>$\bar{K}_x = 100$</th>
<th>$\bar{K}_x = 1000$</th>
<th>$\bar{K}_x = 10000$</th>
<th>$\bar{K}_x = 100000$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\Omega}_1$</td>
<td>3.804949</td>
<td>11.9226</td>
<td>33.78101</td>
<td>55.44217</td>
<td>59.52998</td>
<td>59.58153</td>
<td>60.00109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\Omega}_2$</td>
<td>3.856872</td>
<td>12.05007</td>
<td>33.82152</td>
<td>55.4422</td>
<td>59.55709</td>
<td>59.94418</td>
<td>60.03814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\Omega}_3$</td>
<td>4.774073</td>
<td>14.83911</td>
<td>42.00901</td>
<td>77.83975</td>
<td>85.0458</td>
<td>85.79135</td>
<td>85.86715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\Omega}_4$</td>
<td>14.42954</td>
<td>36.62045</td>
<td>70.06768</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\Omega}_5$</td>
<td>85.56051</td>
<td>85.93927</td>
<td>89.75627</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\Omega}_6$</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. The non-dimensional natural frequencies versus the non-dimensional bearing stiffness for $0 = \Omega_0$, $\zeta = \zeta_0 = 0$, $K_y / K_x = 1$.

Figure 5. The non-dimensional natural frequencies versus the non-dimensional angular velocity for $0 = \Omega_0$, $\zeta = \zeta_0 = 0$, $K_y / K_x = 15$.

As seen in Table 3, as the value of $K_y / K_x$ increases, the critical speed occurs at higher values.
TABLE 3. The intersection values of line 1X with non-dimensional natural frequencies for different values of  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Omega_i )</th>
<th>( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} \approx 0.1 )</th>
<th>( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} \approx 0.5 )</th>
<th>( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} \approx 1 )</th>
<th>( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} \approx 1.5 )</th>
<th>( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} \approx 2 )</th>
<th>( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} \approx 10 )</th>
<th>( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} \approx 100 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_1 )</td>
<td>14.61925</td>
<td>30.22499</td>
<td>38.93382</td>
<td>38.93858</td>
<td>38.93883</td>
<td>38.93927</td>
<td>38.93935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_2 )</td>
<td>22.93248</td>
<td>38.93056</td>
<td>38.94204</td>
<td>43.81949</td>
<td>46.92236</td>
<td>56.89875</td>
<td>58.86484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_3 )</td>
<td>38.94222</td>
<td>41.68242</td>
<td>49.15931</td>
<td>52.37278</td>
<td>54.05189</td>
<td>57.91682</td>
<td>59.87676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_4 )</td>
<td>69.03236</td>
<td>72.48293</td>
<td>77.30942</td>
<td>81.53168</td>
<td>84.44612</td>
<td>89.82579</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_5 )</td>
<td>89.51587</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, for  \( \frac{\Omega_i}{\Omega} \approx 1 \), the value of  \( \Omega_i \) is almost unchanged. Figure 6 shows the non-dimensional natural frequency versus the  \( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} \) for  \( \Omega = 0 \). As shown in Figure 6, for  \( \frac{\Omega_i}{\Omega} = 1 \), the forward and backward frequencies are the same and for  \( \frac{\Omega_i}{\Omega} \neq 1 \) the forward and backward frequencies are contrary. Moreover, for  \( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} < 1 \) the second and fourth frequencies are independent from  \( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} \) and for  \( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} > 1 \) the first and third frequencies are independent from  \( \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega} \).

3.2. The Effectiveness of Damping

Figure 7 shows the non-dimensional natural frequency (\( \frac{\omega}{\Omega} \)) versus the non-dimensional angular velocity of rotor (\( \frac{\Omega}{\Omega} \)) for different values of non-dimensional bearing damping. As shown in Figure 7, the first and second natural frequencies are independent from  \( \zeta \). By increasing the bearing damping, the natural frequencies of higher modes decrease and the value of reduction is more in higher values of damping. Figure 7(d) presents that for  \( \zeta = 0.6 \) the first, second, third and fourth natural frequencies are almost constant after the  \( \Omega/\Omega_0 > 40 \).

Moreover, for low values of  \( \Omega/\Omega_0 \) and high values of bearing damping, the natural frequencies of higher modes are lower than the first and second natural frequencies. It is noted from Figure 7 that for a rotor-bearing system, when the stiffness and damping of bearings are symmetric, the first and second natural frequencies are independent from damping in whole range of the angular velocity of rotor.

Figure 8 shows the non-dimensional natural frequencies versus the non-dimensional damping of bearing, when the effect of gyroscope effect is neglected. The first and second natural frequencies are independent from non-dimensional bearing damping. Rate of reduction of natural frequencies in third and fourth modes are more than fifth and sixth modes.

---

Figure 6. The non-dimensional natural frequency versus the  \( \frac{\Omega_i}{\Omega} \) for  \( \Omega = 0 \),  \( \zeta = \zeta_i = 0 \),  \( \omega_i = 15 \).

Figure 7. The non-dimensional natural frequencies versus the non-dimensional angular velocity for  \( \frac{\Omega_i}{\Omega} = 1 \),  \( \zeta = \zeta_i = \zeta_i = 15 \),  \( \Omega = 0 \).
The intersection of line 1X with the natural frequencies is tabulated for different values of $\zeta$ in parts (a)-(d) of Figure 7. As seen in Table 4, as $\zeta$ increases $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3, \Omega_4$ increase and $\Omega_5, \Omega_6$ decrease.

**TABLE 4.** The intersection values of line 1X with non-dimensional natural frequencies for different values of $\zeta_i = \zeta_r = \zeta_s$ and $\bar{K}_r / \bar{K}_s = 1, \bar{K}_s = 15, \bar{\Omega} = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\zeta_i$ = $\zeta_r$ = $\zeta_s$</th>
<th>$\Omega_1$</th>
<th>$\Omega_2$</th>
<th>$\Omega_3$</th>
<th>$\Omega_4$</th>
<th>$\Omega_5$</th>
<th>$\Omega_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta = 0$</td>
<td>38.93383</td>
<td>38.97353</td>
<td>38.98611</td>
<td>38.46529</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>87.04071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta = 0.2$</td>
<td>38.94204</td>
<td>38.98315</td>
<td>39.08322</td>
<td>39.31727</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>81.5892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta = 0.4$</td>
<td>49.15932</td>
<td>47.23167</td>
<td>40.78638</td>
<td>26.11648</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>76.40595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta = 0.6$</td>
<td>77.30943</td>
<td>76.40595</td>
<td>73.81131</td>
<td>70.38601</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>73.81131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4. CONCLUDING REMARKS**

The vibration analysis of a rotor-bearing system is studied for stiffness of bearing and angular velocity of shaft. The supporting bearing is modeled as a parallel spring-damper in x and y directions ($\bar{K}_r, \zeta_r, \bar{K}_s, \zeta_s$). The following results are obtained:

- By increasing the angular velocity of shaft and the bearing stiffness for $K_i = K_r, \zeta_i = \zeta_r = 0$, the forward natural frequency increases and the backward frequency decreases. Moreover, the gyroscope effect is appeared in third, fourth, fifth and sixth natural frequencies. The critical speed of shaft occurs at higher values of bearing stiffness.

- By increasing the bearing stiffness ratio for $\bar{K}_r \neq \bar{K}_s, \zeta_i = \zeta_s = 0$ the critical speed of shaft occurs at higher values and for $\bar{K}_r / \bar{K}_s \geq 1$, the value of $\Omega_6$ is almost constant.

- By increasing the bearing damping, the first and second natural frequencies are almost independent from damping and the natural frequencies of higher modes decrease. Rate of reduction of natural frequencies in higher values of damping is more than the lower values.

- By increasing the damping of bearing, the first and second critical speeds of shaft increase and the third, fourth, fifth and sixth critical speeds of shaft decrease.

**5. REFERENCES**

The Effect of Damping and Stiffness of Bearing on the Natural Frequencies of Rotor-bearing System

M. Eftekhari

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran

PAPER INFO

Paper history:
Received 31 October 2016
Received in revised form 24 November 2016
Accepted 09 February 2017

Keywords:
Rotor-bearing System
Bearing Stiffness
Bearing Damping
Campbell Diagram


