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#### Abstract

The experimental study reported here is intended to evolve new designs of stilling basins for deep and narrow openings used as outlets. Several appurtenances such as grid, Impact wall, stepped wall, weir wall, sloping end sill and wedge shaped blocks are used to study their influence on the hydraulic performance of the stilling basins with an aim to propose efficient stilling basin models. All the models were tested at inflow Froude number $\mathrm{Fr}=4.89$ keeping a constant run time and same erodible bed material for each stilling basin model for comparison of the performance. The performance of each model was evaluated by observing the maximum depth of scour and its location after the end sill. A non-dimensional number named as Scour Index has been evolved for comparing the performance of the different stilling basin models. The use of wedge shaped blocks as a splitter block and baffle blocks reduced the depth of scour indicating a significant dissipation of energy and good flow conditions, downstream of the stilling basin.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { عمق شستن كاهش يافته و از اتلاف انرِّى به صورت چششمگيرى كاسته مى شود و در پائين دست حوض } \\
& \text { آرامش، شر ايط جريان خوبى بدست مى آيد. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Energy dissipation is a common problem in the design of any hydraulic structure. Stilling basins are used to dissipate the excess kinetic energy of flow to ensure the safety of overflow spillway, chutes, sluices, pipe outlets etc. Some of the recommended stilling basins designs for rectangular openings are USBR impact type VI stilling basin [1] manifold type energy dissipater
[2], etc. The USBR impact type VI stilling basin design is based on the principle of dissipation of energy by means of impact, which is much more than the hydraulic jump. The area of rectangular opening is converted into equivalent diameter and stilling basin model is designed as per the recommended procedure. These are relatively smaller stilling basins, which provide energy dissipation independent of tail water. This procedure may have some error due to difference
in the hydraulic behavior of the rectangular openings and the circular openings. The floor of the basin is depressed and design is suitable for Froude number $\mathrm{Fr}=1.5$ to 7.0.

In the Manifold type energy dissipater, most of the excess energy is dissipated by the diffusion of the submerged jets. The inflow in the form of high velocity jet is made to rise upwards through the rectangular grid openings by provision of adverse slope to the floor of the entrance of the conduit. The dissipation of energy is achieved by the diffusion of the jets coming out from the manifold into the tail water channel. The recommended arrangement of the stilling basin is not very easy to construct.

When water flows through an outlet, it has very high kinetic energy, which has to be dissipated so that safety of the downstream channel is not endangered. In the case of outlet, the jet of water issues in the form of high velocity concentrated jet, which needs to be spread out into the full width of the basin for significant energy dissipation. This spreading of the jet requires additional length of the basin. Moreover, outlets have to operate continuously and under different flow conditions.

Keeping these salient points and problems in view, attempts are made to evolve new and efficient stilling basin designs for the rectangular openings in the present work by using various configurations of the appurtenances.

## 2. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS OF STILLING BASIN MODELS

The stilling basins are generally designed on the basis of inflow Froude number Fr and the results of model studies at a given Froude number. The Froude number for the stilling basin are defined as $\mathrm{Fr}=\mathrm{V} /(\mathrm{gy})^{1 / 2}$ where $\mathrm{V}=$ velocity of incoming flow to the stilling basin or efflux velocity of outlet, $g=$ acceleration due to gravity and $y=$ diameter of circular outlet or pre jump depth. If the shape of the outlet opening is other than circular, than the area of opening is converted into equivalent diameter as mentioned by Bradley and Peterka [1] and Froude number is calculated on the basis of equivalent diameter $d_{e}$ in place of $y$.

## 3. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental model study was carried out in a rectangular fixed bed flume. A masonry tank was constructed on the upstream end of the flume to supply discharge at the required Froude number. A rectangular opening 2.54 cm wide and 10.16 cm height was made in a tank whose downstream face was flushed with the upstream vertical wall of the flume and smooth entry for the outlet was provided.

At the bed of the channel, a wooded board was provided to facilitate the fixing of the stilling basin appurtenances on it. A 15 cm erodible sand bed-having thickness as 15 cm of particle size passing 2.36 mm I.S. sieve and retained on 1.18 mm I.S. sieve was provided for studying the scour at the downstream of the stilling basin. A tailgate was used to control downstream water level in the flume. The duration of running time was kept constant at one hour for each model for the purpose of comparison.

## 4. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Stilling basin model was fixed on the downstream of the outlet on the channel bed. The sand bed surface on the downstream of the stilling basin model was leveled up to the top of the end sill. A centrifugal pump was switched on and a small amount of water was allowed to flow so that disturbances on the sand bed are minimum. The flume was allowed to be filled up nearly equal to the desired downstream depth keeping the tailgate closed. The flow to the flume was increased, up to the required Froude number while watching the manometer readings of the precalibrated orificemeter installed in the pipeline. The tailgate was gradually opened to achieve the desired steady flow conditions. After one hour, the motor was switched off and the tailgate was closed again. The water in the flume was allowed to drain out slowly without disturbing the developed scour pattern. The value of maximum depth of scour $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ and its location after the end sill $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ were noted.


Figure 1. Stilling basin model with a grid and a stepped wall.

## 5. EXPERIMENTAL SCHEME

The model studies were conducted at inflow Froude number $\mathrm{Fr}=4.89$. The Froude number is based on the diameter of equivalent circular area of the rectangular outlet. The width and the length of stilling basin models were kept as 6 d and 12 d respectively where $d$ is the width of the rectangular outlet. Various shapes and sizes of appurtenances [3] like a grid, impact wall, stepped wall, weir wall, a sloping end sill were used in the different configurations of the stilling basin models SBR-1 to SBR-3.

Model SBR-1 A grid of height 3d placed at 2d with a gap at bottom to 2 d , a stepped wall of height 3d having each step size 1d X 1d (3 No.) placed at a distance of 5.1 d from the exit of the outlet and a sloping end sill of height 0.8 d (Figure 1). The diameter of the hole is 0.4 d and clear spacing between two consecutive holes is also 0.4 d . The three rows of holes are provided in a staggered manner as shown in Figure 1.

Model SBR-2 A grid of height 3d placed at 2d with a gap at bottom equal to 2 d , a weir wall of height 3 d , having side slopes 1 H : 2 V placed at a distance of 5.1 d from the exit of the outlet and a sloping end sill of height 0.8 d (Figure 2).

Model SBR-3 The first grid is of height 3d placed at 2 d with a gap at bottom equal to 2 d and second grid of height 3 d placed at 6.2 d with a gap at bottom equal to 2 d and a sloping end sill of height 0.8 d (Figure 3).

A wedge shaped block of vertex angle $150^{\circ}$ and cut back on sides at $90^{\circ}$ was adopted for the development of the stilling basin for spillways and barrages with low inflow Froude numbers $\mathrm{Fr}=2.5$ to $4.5[4,5]$. The wedge shaped block of vertex angle $150^{\circ}$ and cut back on sides at $90^{\circ}$ was also used as a splitter block for improving the efficiency of the energy dissipaters for circular outlets [6,7]. A strong circulatory movement of water with vertical axis forms on either side of the block in the cutback portion resulting in the increased wake area (Figure 4). The chances of cavitation on such blocks are also minimized, because the downstream portion of the block is shaped such that boundaries are away from the regions where the cavities collapse [8]. In the present study, similar wedge shaped blocks with a vertex angle $150^{\circ}$ cut back on sides at $90^{\circ}$ are used as a splitter block as well as baffle blocks in the development of new stilling basin models (stilling basin models SBR-4 to SBR-8).

Model SBR-4 A grid of height 3d placed at 2d with a gap at bottom equal to 2 d , a row of wedge


Figure 2. Stilling basin model with a grid and a weir wall.


Figure 3. Stilling basin model with two grids.
shaped baffle blocks placed at a distance of 6 d from the outlet and a sloping end sill of height 0.8 d . The row of the wedge shaped baffle blocks has two full blocks of size and spacing ( $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}=1 \mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{b}}=2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{b}}=1 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.5 \mathrm{~d}$ ) and two half blocks
where $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is width and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is height of the block, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is spacing between two blocks and $s_{c}$ is spacing between block and side wall (Figure 5).

Model SBR-5 An impact wall of height 3d with


Figure 4. Wake areas of a rectangular block and a wedge shaped block.


Figure 5. Stilling basin model with a grid and wedge shaped baffle blocks.
a bottom gap 2 d placed at 3.4 d , a row wedge shaped baffle blocks similar to model SBR-4 and a sloping end sill of height 0.8 d (Figure 6).

Model SBR-6 A stepped wall of height 3d is placed at 2d from the exit of the outlet and rest of the appurtenances are same with the model SBR-5 (Figure 7)

Model SBR-7 A wedge shaped splitter block of size $\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}=1 \mathrm{~d}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{b}}=2 \mathrm{~d}\right)$ placed at 1.7 d at the center of the opening and a row of wedge shaped baffle blocks at 5.6 (similar to SBR-4) from the exit of the outlet placed at 5 d and a sloping end sill of height 0.8 d (Figure 8).

Model SBR-8 A wedge shaped splitter block of


Figure 6. Stilling basin model with an impact wall and wedge shaped baffle blocks.


Figure 7. Stilling basin model with a stepped wall and wedge shaped baffle blocks.
size $\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}=1 \mathrm{~d}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{b}}=2 \mathrm{~d}\right)$ placed at 2 d at the center of the opening and a row of wedge shaped baffle blocks at 5 d of size and spacing $\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}=1 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{b}}=2 \mathrm{~d}\right.$, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{b}}=1 \mathrm{~d}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}=0.5 \mathrm{~d}$ ) and a sloping end sill of height 0.8 d (Figure 9).

## 6. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The data of maximum depth of scour and its location after the end sill for each stilling basin model and has been placed in the Table 1 along


Figure 8. Stilling basin model with a wedge shaped splitter block and wedge shaped baffle blocks (2 half and 2 full).
with visual observations during the testing of the models. In general, the performance of a stilling basin model can be judged by the magnitude of maximum depth of scour $\left(d_{m}\right)$ and its distance from the end sill $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$. A model producing smaller depth of scour at larger distance from the end sill is considered to be performing better. The scour profile is assumed as parabolic and by drawing a tangent to the point of maximum scour (Figure 10), the slope of the scour profile as a non-dimensional number in terms of scour index can be defined as:

Scour Index $=\tan \alpha=\frac{2 d_{m}}{d_{s}}$
A smaller value of scour index for a stilling basin model indicates that it has better performance.

Stilling basin models SBR-1 and SBR-2 are provided with a stepped wall with vertical upstream face and a weir wall with $1 \mathrm{H}: 2 \mathrm{~V}$ slope up to a height of 3d after the grid respectively. The value of scour index is smaller ( 0.45 ) for SBR-2, which further reduces to 0.35 for SBR-3 where the solid wall is replaced by one more grid. In this model formations of shear layers and the formation of small jets with grids result in the loss of energy
by diffusion. When the second grid is replaced by a row of wedge shaped baffle blocks as in model SBR-4, the scour index further reduces to a value of 0.29 .

Tests on a solid impact wall with a gap at the bottom and a stepped wall upstream of the wedge shaped baffle blocks as in the models SBR-5 and SBR-6 show a better performance of the models SBR-6 with a scour index value of 0.33 .

Stilling basin models SBR-7 and SBR-8 use only wedge shaped blocks and arrangement of the model SBR-8 (Figure 9) shows a lower value of the scour index. In the model SBR-8, the splitter block is moved a little downstream and instead of the two half baffles blocks on the sides, a clear space is left on the sides. The main jet coming out of the outlet spreaded in full width of the basin with wedge shaped splitter block. The side spacing produces stronger eddies in addition to other horizontal discontinuity layers and helps in energy dissipation as indicted by value of the lower scour index $(\tan \alpha=0.31)$. It means that some spacing must be left on the sides also for proper distribution of flow in full width of the stilling basin model. The depth of the scour is minimum in this model as compared to all other models. The water surface after the end sill remains smooth and


Figure 9. Stilling basin model with a wedge shaped splitter block and wedge shaped baffle blocks (3 full).
less wavy.
It is clear from the above discussion that when the wedge shaped blocks are used as a splitter or in a row of baffle blocks, the performance of the stilling basin improves as compared to other stilling basin models using appurtenances such as grid, impact wall, weir wall etc. The splitter block splits the jet and spread it into full width of the stilling basin and creates a better mixing with the surrounding fluid. The mechanism
consists of production of large-scale turbulence, which changes into small-scale turbulence during distribution. This results into additional momentum transfer in the lateral and vertical directions. The energy is dissipated in both vertical and horizontal direction with shear drag, pressure drag and diffusion. The sloping end sill deflects the bottom current the surface and induces a ground roller, which deposits the bed material at the downstream face of the end sill.


Figure 10. Assumed parabolic scour profile.

TABLE 1. Scheme of Experimentation Along with Results of Stilling Basin Models Tested for Fr = 3.68, Size of Opening Width $=2.54 \mathrm{~cm}(\mathrm{~d})$, Depth $=10.16 \mathrm{~cm}(4 \mathrm{~d})$

| S. <br> No. | Stilling <br> Basin <br> Model <br> Number | $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{m}}$ <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{s}}$ <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | tan $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=$ <br> $\mathbf{2 d}_{\mathbf{m}} / \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | Visual Flow Observations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | RSB-1 | 5.90 | 19.0 | 0.62 | A lot of splashing, wavy surface. |
| 2 | RSB-2 | 4.50 | 20.0 | 0.45 | Scour increased at many places, turbulence |
| 3 | RSB-3 | 3.20 | 18.0 | 0.35 | Less turbulence and low bottom velocity |
| 4 | RSB-4 | 4.07 | 28.0 | 0.29 | Splashing of water |
| 5 | RSB-5 | 4.06 | 22.0 | 0.37 | Back flow from obstruction |
| 6 | RSB-6 | 2.94 | 17.5 | 0.33 | Back flow form cascade wall |
| 7 | RSB-7 | 2.39 | 19.1 | 0.25 | No wavy surface |
| 8 | RSB-8 | 2.10 | 18.6 | 0.22 | No waves in downstream channel, smoothness. <br> BEST ARRANGEMENT |

## 7. COMPARISON OF NEW RECOMMENDED MODEL WITH USBR TYPE VI STILLING BASIN MODEL

The USBR impact type VI stilling basins are generally provided for circular outlets and floor of the stilling basin is kept below the invert level of the outlet. The size of the stilling basin is estimated
for Froude number $\mathrm{Fr}=4.89$ and width and length of stilling basin model for USBR impact type VI stilling basin based on Bradley and Peterka [1] are 41.17 cm and 54.76 cm respectively.

The proposed width and length of stilling basin for the deep rectangular outlets are 15.24 cm and 30.40 cm respectively, which are much smaller as compared to USBR impact type VI stilling basin.

## 8. CONCLUSIONS

Following conclusions have been drawn on the basis of the present study:

1. The performance of the stilling basin can be evaluated by using same material and a constant run time for all test runs on different models and comparing the values of scour index.
2. The wedge shaped splitter block mainly helps in lateral spreading of the narrower jet in a shorter length of the basin.
3. A row of wedge shaped blocks assisted in more energy dissipation as indicated by lower values of the scour indices.
4. The recommended stilling basin for deep rectangular outlets is much smaller in dimensions as compared to USBR Impact type VI stilling basin, making it economical.

## 9. NOTATION

The following symbols are used in this paper:
d = width of rectangular outlet;
$d_{e} \quad=$ equivalent diameter of the rectangular outlet;
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad=$ maximum depth of scour;
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad=$ distance of maximum depth of scour after end sill;
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}} \quad=$ Froude number of pipe outlet;
$\mathrm{g} \quad=$ acceleration due to gravity;
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad=$ height of wedge shaped block;
$\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad=$ spacing between wedge shaped blocks;
$\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad=$ end spacing between wedge shaped block and wall of the channel;
y = diameter of circular outlet;
$\mathrm{V} \quad=$ efflux velocity of the jet; and
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad=$ width of wedge shaped block;
$\tan \alpha=$ scour index $=2 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{s}}$.
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